Comparative ability of soil biogeochemistry submodels for reproducing terrestrial carbon cycling in a grassland multiple global change experiment

Katie Rocci, Will Wieder, Peter Reich





Image credit: Cedar Creek LTER

Why represent soil BGC mechanisms in ESMs?

Soils are a quantitatively important contribution to the global C cycle







Friedlingstein et al., 2025

Why represent soil BGC mechanisms in ESMs?

Representing soil BGC mechanisms can change answers



Some of these mechanisms are not currently wellrepresented in models



Terrer et al., 2021

We have the ability to assess soil BGC in CLM5 $\,$



Taking advantage of a multifactor global change experiment: TeRaCON



After 10 years, C cycle responses indicate potetnial soil biogeochemical mechanisms



Does soil BGC alter global change responses?

Control, Warming, Elevated CO2, Warming x elevated CO2



Calibrating CLM-Century





Calibrating CLM-Century

Altered decomp rate, photosynthesis dependence on N, and root:shoot ratio



=default

=calibrated

Calibrating CLM-MIMICS*





Calibrating CLM-MIMICS*



Used same plant parameters as in CLM-Century plus changes in decomposition, desorption, and microbial turnover



*could be improved!







The remaining questions

1. Do these results persist with better calibrations?



2. Can we reproduce global change effects with parameter or stuructural changes?

The remaining questions

Takeaway: currently, soil biogeochemistry minorly alters global change responses, but this might be altered with different parametrizations to better match observations!

1. Do these results persist with better calibrations?



2. Can we reproduce global chagne effects with parameter or stuructural changes?

Thank you!

TeRaCON collaborators

Kally Worm Xinli Chen Sarah Raubenheimer Liting Zheng

Funding

NSF Macrosystems UofM Biosciences Initiative NSF Biological Integration Institute NSF Long-term Ecological Research

Image credit: University of Minnesota