

### Observation-oriented CCN modification over the Southern Ocean using the CAM6

Qing Niu<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Christina McCluskey<sup>3</sup>, Greg McFarquhar<sup>1,2</sup>, Danny Leung<sup>3</sup>, Simone Tilmes<sup>3</sup>, Susannah Burrows<sup>4</sup>



2025.06.10



# Introduction: Radiation Bias and Southern Ocean (SO)

Southern Ocean Clouds are:

- Essential to global radiation
- But are poorly understood
- Limited available observations
- Model parameters are NH-oriented

Simulated Antarctic Clouds "bright" enough? Multi-model mean - CERES-EBAF



Fig 1. Bias of **surface incoming shortwave radiation** between mean of Coupled Model Intercomparison Project phase 6 (**CMIP6**) models and the **CERES-EBAF** satellite product during **Austral summertime**<sup>[1]</sup>.

### Introduction: Observation of Natural Marine Cloud Brightening



Fig 2. Geographic distribution of the high-Nd-quartile cloud scenes during **Austral summertime**, Mace et al., (2021)

- Seasonal cycle in cloud droplet number concentration (Nd)
- Along the MIZ
- Seasonal increases in CCN and biological activity

# Introduction: Activation of cloud droplets in CAM6 – Abdul-Razzak and Ghan (2000)



b) the Maximum supersaturation  $(SS_{max})$ 

$$\frac{dS}{dt} = Q_1 \frac{dz}{dt} - Q_2 \frac{d\chi}{dt}$$

- + : cooling in adiabatic ascent (f1)
- : condensation of water vapour on droplets (f2)

	Sea Salt	Sulfate	Secondary organic Aerosols ( <b>SOA</b> )	Primary organic matter ( <b>POA</b> )
Hygroscopicity kappa	1.16	0.507	0.14	0.1

SO clouds: Aerosol-limited OR updraft limited regime

### Introduction: SO clouds are Aerosol-limited

Sobol's index

$$S_j = \frac{V[E[Y|X_j]]}{V[Y]}$$

partial variance of the response that can be

UKESM1

ECHAM6.3-HAM2.3

- 0.8

0.6

0.4 N S [N 4.0

- 0.2

0.0

attributed to model inputs  $S_i$ : Updraft velocity ( $\sigma_w$ ) *S<sub>i</sub>*: Accumulation Mode Number 1.0 1.0 90 Present Day Period (PD) Latitude 0.8 60 60 -30 5 [σw] 9'0 S [σw] bit 30 0 0 -30-30 -60-60 0.2 -90-120120 -9060 180 -180-60 120 / 60 180 -180-120-60 0 00 Longitude Longitude

U of Exeter, Daniel Partridge et al. (in prep)

# Introduction: High $N_{70\text{-}100}$ cause high $N_{\text{CCN}}$ over summer SSO

<u>Niu et al. 2024 JGR-A</u> (doi 10.1029/2023JD040396)

Observed polar-ward:

- increased N<sub>70-100</sub>
- decreased N<sub>700-1000</sub>
- $\Rightarrow$  lead to increased N $_{\rm CCN}$  over the summer SSO



Fig 3. Latitudinal dependence of Accumulation-mode-aerosol (70 nm < D < 1000 nm) number concentration (Ac) from Ultra High Sensitivity Aerosol Spectrometer (UHSAS), particles with 70 nm < D < 100 nm, and 700 nm < D < 1000 nm

### Methods

0.9° latitude x 1.25° longitude (~100 x 100 km at 50oS)
32 levels to ~1 hPa (100–1200 m vertical resolution)
30 minute time step (10 min sub–step)
Specified dynamics (nudged) U, V, T (MERRA–2, 24–hr)

Cloud Microphysics	MG2	Two Moment	Gettelman et al. (2019)
Aerosols	MAM4	Modal	Liu et al. (2016)
Boundary Layer, Shallow Convection, Cloud Macrophysics	CLUBB	High-order Moments	Bogenschutz et al. (2012), Golaz et al., 2002
Radiation	RRTMG	Correlated-k	Mlawer et al. (1997)
Surface emission	CMIP6 climatological profiles in 2000		Feng et al., (2020)
Sea Ice	CICE5	Monthly mean climatology	Hunke et al., (2015)



**Co-location Method** 

# Introduction: CAM6 CCN Bias

<u>Niu et al. 2025 JGR-A:</u> (doi 10.1029/2024JD042734)

- A. CAM6 missing small sulfates
- B. Sometimes overestimate sea salts



#### To do list:





Fig 4. Latitudinal  $N_{Ac}$  comparison, CAM6 in solid and MARCUS in dashed line for  $N_{At}$ ,  $N_{Iarge Ac}$ ,  $N_{small Ac}$ ,  $N_{Ac}$ 

### A. Tuning down sea-salt emission scheme over the SO



Daniel C. O. Thornton & Sarah D. Brooks

 $U_{10}^{3.41}$  parametrization

(Monahan & Muircheartaigh, 1980; Gong, 1997, 2003; Clarke et al., 2006)





Reduced sensitivity of sea-salt emission flux to  $U_{10}^{2.8}$ 

(Revell et al., 2019; Hartery et al., 2020; Kang et al., 2022)

### B. Tuning up sulfates

DMS (dimethyl sulfide)

$$\mathsf{DMS}\to\mathsf{SO}_2\to\mathsf{SO}_4$$



### A. Tuning down sea-salt emission scheme over the SO



- Largely improve the N<sub>CCN</sub> between 45°S -55°S (grey and red)
   X Not for south of 55°S
- $\Rightarrow$  maybe caused by substantial SO<sub>4</sub> south of 55°S

### A. Sea salt case in Nov 2017

• Oct 30-Nov 9, 2017

• 42.5°S-60°S

•  $U_{10}^{3.41} \rightarrow U_{10}^{2.8}$  solved the overestimation of N<sub>CCN</sub>



#### Base run

#### B. sulfates↑ + A. sea salts↓



### B. Sulfate case

- 500 Jan 17-29, 2018 Base Run 250
- 45 °S 68 °S

DMS \* N improves the  $\mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{CCN}}$ 



#### Base run

#### B. sulfates $\uparrow$ + A. sea salts $\downarrow$





# Take home message

- 1. MARCUS Seasonal + Regional  $\rightarrow$  sea salt scenario + sulfate scenario
- 2. Both modifications improved the  $N_{CCN}$  over the SO
- 3. Sea salt emission power law can be regional dependent
- 4. Low bias of  $N_{CCN}$  in the summer SSO related to lack of **S**

# Potential improve if:

- 1. Fully coupled ocean + atmosphere + chemistry
- 2. Adding aqueous-phase reactions of DMS oxidation intermediates (e.g. DMSO, MSIA, HPMTF); adding species such as MSA, BrO, MeSH
- 3. DMS climatology emission  $\rightarrow$  current flux
- 4. Oxidation ratios of reactions..

# Next Step:

- Lifetime of Ac sea salt and sulfates
- Influence from synoptic uplift and descend
- The following influence on clouds

# Acknowledgement:

- Naser Mahfouz PNNL
- Jack Chen CGD
- Simone Tilmes ACOM
- Trude Eidhammer RAL
- Yunqian Zhu NOAA
- Jesse, Isla, Cecile, Brian...

# Institutions

- 1. University of Oklahoma (OU)
- 2. Cooperative Institute for Severe and High-Impact Weather Research and Operation (CIWRO)
- 3. NSF National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR)
- 4. Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (PNNL)

# **Questions and Comments?**