Towards Climate-Adaptive Phenology in CLM Using Machine Learning

Aya Lahlou, Columbia University Linnia Hawkins, Adrianna Foster, Dave Lawrence, Pierre Gentine June 9th, 2025

EΛP





Temporal Transformers for Phenology



Predictand: LCSIF



- Long-term global spatially Contiguous Solar-Induced Fluorescence (Fang et al. 2022)
- 0.05 15 day resolution

Training set up:

- Resolution : 0.25 degrees 1 day
- Historical context: 365 days
- Forecast Horizon: 30 days

Predictors:

- Minimum Temperature (Era5)
- Maximum Temperature (Era5)
- Accumulated Solar Radiation (Era5)
- Precipitation (Era5)
- Soil Moisture (Era5)
 - Photoperiod
 - Soil Type





Temporal Transformers Results

defined as deviations from the mean seasonal cycle value per site from mean seasonal cycle. Anomalies were Seasonal variations with performance variability, Figure 1: CSIF predicted (y axis) versus for seasonal variability, evaluated for all data (FSEAS) were and for interannual anomalies e derived t points, CSIF observed (x axis) by subtracting mean for across-site

F





Figure 2: Interpretable TFT phenology predictions characterize EOS and SOS climate drivers and their spatial patterns

Poor Phenology Representation in the CLM and Beyond

Underestimated interannual variation of spring leaf phenology Poor representation of inter-site variability of autumn leaf phenology



Bias in CLM5.0 Seasonal GPP vs FLUXCOM Observations



Figure 2. The annual cycle of (a) GPP, (b) TER, and (c) NEE from CLM5.0 and our model recommendation compared to FLUXCOM in the ABZ. Non-productive grid cells in the ABZ are removed from the average, meaning where LAI = 0, which is standard procedure in CLM analysis (Lawrence et al., 2019).

Best models having still have a 7 to 9 days prediction error



Empirical CLM Phenology: Limited sensitivity

Single on/off switches per year (no intra-seasonal variability)

Static parameters regardless of climate or PFT

LAI tracks C supply, not environmental forcing

Spring Onset

Initialization:

 Set Growing - Degree - Day sum: GDD_sum=0 \mathrm{GDD_sum} = 0GDD_sum=0

Soil Temperature GDD Trigger:

- If Tsoil3>273.15: GDD sum +=(Tsoil3-273.15)
- Compare to critical threshold: GDD_sum>GDD_sum_crit (function of Mean annual Temp), do onset

Onset Event:

- Trigger budburst in CNPhenologyMod::cnonsetgrowth
 - Fixed duration: 30 days
 - Transfers all stored C to leaves, linearly over 30 davs
 - No mid-season drought response

Fall Senescence

Initialization:

• After summer solstice

Photoperiod Trigger

• If P<10.92P < 10.92P<10.92 h: begin senescence

Offset Routine:

- CNPhenologyMod::cnoffsetlitterfall
 - Fixed duration: 15 d
 - Leaf C \rightarrow litter, linear rate
 - o After 15 days: leaf C = 0, LAI = 0



Empirical CLM Phenology: Limited sensitivity

Why ML? Why not a better parametrizations?

- Tuning thresholds can help shift phenology but rarely improves year-to-year responsiveness

Phenological Realism

Climate-responsive growing-season duration

Mechanistic chilling and soil-moisture thresholds

Carry-over legacy state effects

Driver-responsive growth-rate functions

Site-specific phenological parameterization

Spring Onset

Initialization

 Set Growing - Degree - Day sum: GDD_sum=0 \mathrm{GDD_sum} = 0GDD_sum=0

Soil Temperature GDD Trigger:

- If Tsoil3>273.15:
 - GDD_sum +=(Tsoil3-273.15)
- Compare to critical threshold: GDD_sum>GDD_sum_crit (function of Mean annual Temp), do onset

Onset Event:

- Trigger budburst in CNPhenologyMod::cnonsetgrowth
 - Fixed duration: 30 days
 - Transfers all stored C to leaves, linearly over 30 days
 - No mid season drought response

Fall Senescence

Initialization

After summer solstice

Photoperiod Trigger

• If P<10.92P < 10.92P<10.92 h: begin senescence

Offset Routine:

- CNPhenologyMod::cnoffsetlitterfall
 - Fixed duration: 15 d
 - $\circ \qquad \text{Leaf C} \rightarrow \text{litter, linear rate}$
 - After 15 days: leaf C = 0, LAI = 0



Temporal Transformers for Phenology

$\textbf{Attention} \rightarrow \textbf{Long-Term multivariate Climate-Phenology effects}$





But ML models are in python, right?

- PyTorch models can be saved using existing Torch C++ interface
 - Compiled static PyTorch model in C++
- <u>FTorch</u> is an interface for C++ / Fortran built on LibTorch
 - FTorch library will be integrated in CESM3
- Using FTorch we can call a static PyTorch model
 No python at runtime
- No online training (yet)





Proposed Method : Climate Sensitive SOS/EOS



Preliminary evaluation: Morgan Monroe State Forest

Deciduous Broadleaf forest, IN



CLM:

- Early onset
- Late senescence
- Consistent year-to-year



Preliminary results: US-MMS







Preliminary results: US-MMS





R² per Phase:

Observations vs TFT onset: 0.840 maturation: 0.990 senescence: 0.978 dormancy: 0.969

Observations vs CLM onset: -23.974 maturation: -0.835 senescence: -4.706 dormancy: -5.363



Proposed Method : Climate Sensitive Vegetation Dynamics

May - July

Phase 01

Inferring growth onset, maturation, senescence, dormancy from TFT predictions of LAI

Phase 02

Dynamic Carbon Allometry

Goal: Enable realistic climate sensitive seasonal LAI profiles

 $CF_{leaf_stor,leaf_ster} = f_{stor,xfer}CS_{leaf_stor}/\Delta t$ $CF_{froot_stor,froot_xfer} = f_{stor,xfer}CS_{froot_stor}/\Delta t$ $CF_{livestem_stor,livestem_xfer} = f_{stor,xfer}CS_{livestem_stor}/\Delta t$ $CF_{deadstem_stor,deadstem_xfer} = f_{stor,xfer}CS_{deadstem_stor}/\Delta t$ $CF_{livecroot_stor,livecroot_xfer} = f_{stor,xfer}CS_{livecroot_stor}/\Delta t$ $CF_{deadcroot_stor,deadcroot_xfer} = f_{stor,xfer}CS_{deadcroot_stor}/\Delta t$ $CF_{deadcroot_stor,deadcroot_xfer} = f_{stor,xfer}CS_{deadcroot_stor}/\Delta t$ $CF_{gresp_stor,gresp_xfer} = f_{stor,xfer}CS_{gresp_stor}/\Delta t$

- > CLM5's leaf C allocation and LAI derive from static, hard-coded functions
- Lacks interannual or climate-driven flexibility (e.g. Season Length)
- > Produces overly smooth, climate-insensitive intra-seasonal LAI dynamics



Proposed Method : Climate Sensitive Vegetation Dynamics

May - July

Phase 01

Inferring growth onset, maturation, senescence, dormancy from TFT predictions of LAI

Phase 02

Dynamic Carbon Allometry

Phase 03

Stress Deciduous Phenology, Evergreen Phenology, Grasslands, etc.



Phenology is a key uncertainty in land modeling, affecting carbon, water, and energy cycles.

Current methods in CLM (and CMIP6 more broadly) lack the adaptability to capture changing climate responses, struggling with onset timing, season length, and carbon allocation variability

By replacing hard-coded rules with an adaptive ML phenology scheme, we can recover realistic, inter-annual and extreme-driven variability in leaf-out and senescence timing.

Real-time ML inference in CESM via FTorch integration

Thank you! Q&A ?

