

College of Arts & Sciences

Bridging Low-Resolution CMIP and Storm-Resolving Scales: High-Resolution CESM Climate Simulation Ensembles

Ping Chang

Department of Oceanography & Department of Atmospheric Sciences, Texas A&M University

Special thanks to the entire project team: Gokhan Danabasoglu, Frederic S. Castruccio, Stephen G. Yeager, Dan Fu, Xue Liu, Qiuying Zhang, Gaopeng Xu, Andreas Prein, Julio Bacmeister, Frank Bryan, R. Justin Small, Travis Prochko, Jaison Kurian, Nan Rosenbloom, James Edwards, Hong Wang, Yuhu Chen, Petro DeNezio, Lucas C. Laurindo, Yinglia Jia, Yunhui Zeng, David A. Bailey, Xiaohui Duan, Alice K. DuVivier, Dapeng Li, Yuxuan Li, Richard Neale, Achim Stössel, Shaoqing Zhang, Haohuan Fu, Li Wang, Yuan Zhuang, Allison Baker, Susan Bates, John Dennis, Xiliang Diao, Bolan Gan, Abishek Gopal, Dongning Jia, Zhao Jing, Xiaohui Ma, R. Saravanan, Warran G. Strand, Jian Tao, Haiyuan Yang, Xiaoqi Wang, Zhiqiang Wei, Lixin Wu

30th CESM Annual CESM Workshop, June 9– 11, 2025, Boulder, CO and Online









High-Resolution CESM (CESM-HR)

What is CESM-HR?

Based on CESM1.3 (Meehl et al. 2019), which derives from high-resolution CESM1.1 (Small et al. 2014)

- CAM3.5: SE-DYCORE with 0.25° horizontal and resolution and 30 vertical levels (3 hPa top)
- CLM4.0: 0.25° horizontal and resolution with MOSART1.0
- POP2: 0.1° horizontal and resolution and 62 vertical levels with no mesoscale, submesoscale, and overflow parameterizations
- CICE4.0: 0.1° horizontal and resolution
- Coupler: CESM2 Common Infrastructure for Modeling the Earth (CIME)
- CESM-HR code is ported and optimized for multiple HPC systems, including Frontera at TACC and Derecho at NCAR
- On 223 CPU nodes of Derecho, CESM-HR achieves ~5 simulated yrs per day with HF IOs
- CESM-HR/CESM-LR cost ratio ~ 100

What are CESM-HR simulation ensembles?

- CMIP6 HighResMIP Ensemble (Roberts et al, 2020a&b):
 - o 1950-2014 AMIP
 - o 1950 CTRL and 1950-2100 historical-future (RCP8.5) simulations
 - o 2015-2050 AMIP
- CMIP DECK (Chang et al. 2020, 2023, 2025):
 - o 1850 PI-CTRL (650 yrs)
 - 10-mem 1920-2005 historical simulations
 - 10-mem 2006-2100 future simulations with RCP8.5
 - 10-mem 2006-2100 future simulations with RCP6.0
 - 1-mem 2006-2100 future simulation with RCP4.5
 - o 1-mem 2006-2100 future simulation with RCP2.6
 - 80-yrs 1% CO2 and 150-yrs 4xCO2
 - o 4-mem 1950-2015 AMIP
- High-Res Decadal Prediction (HRDP) (Yeager et al. 2023):
 - \circ 5-cycle of 1958-2018 OMIP (with 5th cycle extended to 2023)
 - 10-mem 5-year initialized (May and Nov) predictions from 1980 to 2023
- Corresponding CESM-LR simulations
- ~6000 yrs of cumulative simulation and ~7 PB data volume
- Data accessibility: <u>https://project.cgd.ucar.edu/projects/MESACLIP/</u>



Global Mean Surface Temperature



College of Arts & Sciences



Chang et al. (2020)

Eastern Boundary Upwelling (EBU)

TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY College of Arts & Sciences

Ā M



fisheries planning

Chang et al. (2023)

Climate Sensitivity of CESM-HR/LR



HR - LR TCR TAS Anomalies: 0.21 K



Arctic amplification is stronger in CESM-HR due to enhanced ocean heat transport through the Bering Strait (Xu et al. 2024)



1980-2022 Climate Trends

TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY College of Arts & Sciences

A M



Courtesy of Qiuying Zhang

Improved Decadal Predictions



College of Arts & Sciences





Yeager et al. (2023)

Improved Extreme Precipitation



TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY College of Arts & Sciences

Annual Maximum Daily Precipitation (Rx1day)



Chang et al (2025, in rev.)

-18 -24 -30

MCSs and Extreme Precipitation



College of Arts & Sciences



Chang et al (2025, in rev.)

Future Changes in Rx1day and TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY College of Arts AM & Sciences **MCSs** Future – Present Rx1day: CESM-HR **Future MCS Changes** С MCS precipitation PDF D Extreme MCS occurrence difference 80% 2081-2100 (13.82) centage 2001-2020 (11.03) scale) 60% Global mean: 7 17.0 mmd⁻¹ increase Probability Density (log₁₀ sc 5 39% relative to present 40% Future – Present Rx1 day: CESM-LR 20% Differer 10⁻²

present future

14

16

12

 10^{-3}

Global mean: 6.5 mmd⁻¹ increase

-6

0

mm/dav

-24 -18 -12

-30

23% relative to present

6

12

18

24

30

2

6

8

Precipitation Rate (mm/hr)

10

The muted future Rx1day response in CESM-LR is due to its inability to capture intensifying MCSs under warmer climates, which CESM-HR does capture.

-20%

nou

-5

Key Takeaways



- First HR (10–25 km) CMIP DECK ensembles released to the public, including preindustrial control, historical, future climate scenarios, and decadal predictions
- HR simulations offer substantial benefits, such as reduced biases, improved prediction skills and signal-to-noise ratio, and more accurate representation of extreme events, particularly extreme precipitation and coastal sea-level rise, making them a more reliable tool for climate prediction and projection
- While not resolving convection and submesoscale ocean features, the HR model significantly improves simulation of mesoscale phenomena such as MCSs, ocean eddies, and their interaction with the atmosphere, representing a major step forward from conventional ~100 km CMIP models
- These advances open new frontiers for research and technology, including ML-based climate models, and novel diagnostics for understanding explicit and parameterized physics in climate modeling

Mesoscale variability and Coupling

30°N

0°



TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY College of Arts & Sciences



Courtesy of Travis Prochko