**Ed Blanchard-Wrigglesworth Department of Atmospheric and Climate science University of Washington** 

**Patricia DeRepentigny** Université catholique de Louvain, Belgium (previously at NCAR)

**Dargan Frierson Atmospheric and Climate science, University of Washington** 



## **Increasing boreal fires reduce future global warming** and sea ice loss















## Summer aerosol optical depth (MODIS/Aqua) has increased over the boreal regions and the Arctic







Produced new ensemble with CESM2, over 2015-2060, 9 members, branched off CESM2-LE in 2015

Same CMIP6 forcings as CESM2-LE (SSP370 scenario)

Except for boreal biomass burning emissions, which follow observations/observed trends

2060

Black carbon, sulfate, organic carbon (POM) are all updated





## BorealFire - CESM2-LE, JJA column burden differences



## Mean annual temperature



0.33°C/decade warming in BorealFire 0.37°C/decade warming in CESM2-LE (12% reduction)



0.49 C/decade warming in Borearrie 0.78°C/decade warming in CESM2-LE (38% reduction)

## Annual temperature trends



**Relative** cooling in the Arctic, northern continents (>40°N), North Pacific (but not North Atlantic). No change in Southern Hemisphere.



## Seasonal temperature trend differences BorealFire - CESM2-LE

### **Difference JJA**





## Local Summer boreal peak cooling

**Difference DJF** 

Winter and fall Arctic peak cooling

Year-round in North Pacific

## Southward shift in tropical precipitation (consistent with Chiang and Bitz, 2005)



Α

Decrease in high northern latitude precipitation (a cooler Arctic is a drier Arctic, and emissions suppress boreal precipitation in JJA)





Reduction in Arctic sea ice loss, especially in summer

## Why does all this happen

### Zonal-mean Aerosol concentration number difference, JJA



(BorealFire-CESM2)

## Annual-mean differences in:

## Cloud droplet number



Over Canada / Siberia and Arctic, no change in summer cloud cover, but enhanced albedo (reflectivity) of existing clouds -> reduced solar flux, less summer sea ice melt

Thicker/larger sea ice cover drives cooler temperatures in fall/winter

In North Pacific and North Atlantic, greater low cloud fraction -> reduced solar flux at surface

## Low Cloud fraction

Net solar at surface







## In summary

Boreal biomass burning emissions have increased drastically in observations in recent years, in contrast to CMIP6 2015-2100 scenarios, which have no trend. Observed aerosol optical depth over the Arctic has increased.

Running CESM2 with increasing boreal emission scenarios over 2015-2060 shows a slowdown in global warming (12%), Arctic warming (38%), less sea ice loss, and changes in tropical precipitation. Summer forcings result in year-round impacts beyond boreal region.

## **Boreal emissions matter, and CMIP7 should not simply repeat** CMIP5/6 scenarios.

Blanchard-Wrigglesworth, E., DeRepentigny, P., & Frierson, D. M. (2025). Increasing boreal fires reduce future global warming and sea ice loss. *Proceedings of the National Academy* of Sciences, 122(23), e2424614122.





Extra slides

## What's next?

Test sensitivity of results with other GCMs (run mini-MIP). Friends with a GCM? First results with EC-Earth3, upcoming with E3SM.

Test sensitivity to forcings: add inter-annual variability, ramping up followed by ramp down of BBEs, changing seasonality (more early season fires, longer fire season).

How/what observations can we use to validate simulations?

Reach out to CMIP7 working groups.

How much does coupling of weather and fire matter to aerosol transport / lifetimes









Default 2015-2100 emission files set-up in CESM2: monthly emissions for 2015, 2020, 2030, etc (model interpolates in between years).

Our new CESM2-BorealFire ensemble:

2020: mean observed 2019-2023 emissions.

2030-2060: extrapolated observed 1997-2023 trends for each grid cell and each calendar month.

May be conservative: linear extrapolation, not exponential.

No new fires in grid cells & months that had <sup>2060</sup> none in 1997-2023.

Most of BorealFire emissions are precedented in observations (2023)









Enhanced emissions take place in the summer months

No change in cold season (no fires in winter)



























## So... is there any evidence of all this in observations



# from MODIS (Zhong et al, in review)

Summer (JJA) AOD trends, 2000-2020,



So... is there any evidence of all this in observations (Getting speculative now in some plots I made yesterday)



27



So... is there any evidence of all this in observations (Getting speculative now in some plots I made yesterday)





So... is there any evidence of all this in observations (Getting speculative now in some plots I made yesterday)



29







Rantanen et al, 2022

### Fig. 5 Seasonality of the 43-year (1979–2021) Arctic amplification ratio.









### New forcing 2020-2100



### Seasonal changes in precipitation







#### **AODVIS BorealFire DJF**



#### AODVIS BorealFire MAM



#### AODVIS CESM2-LE DJF



Difference DJF



#### AODVIS CESM2-LE MAM







**AODVIS BorealFire JJA** 



**AODVIS BorealFire SON** 



**AODVIS CESM2-LE JJA** 



AOD





AOD					
I					
0	0.02	0.04	0.06	0.08	0.1

**AODVIS CESM2-LE SON** 



Difference SON



#### **CLDLOW BorealFire MAM**



#### **CLDLOW BorealFire DJF**





### CLDLOW CESM2-LE DJF



### Difference DJF

0





0

0.02

0.04

0.06 0.08

0.1











**CLDLOW BorealFire SON** 



**CLDLOW CESM2-LE SON** 



Difference SON





#### FSNS BorealFire DJF





### FSNS CESM2-LE DJF



### Difference DJF

0





W/m2

0

10







#### **FSNS BorealFire SON**



FSNS CESM2-LE SON





30

20

#### **CDNUMC BorealFire DJF**



#### **CDNUMC BorealFire MAM**



#### CDNUMC CESM2-LE DJF



Difference DJF



#### CDNUMC CESM2-LE MAM













#### **CDNUMC BorealFire SON**





### CDNUMC CESM2-LE JJA



#### 1.2 1.6 1.8 1.4 2 1 **Difference JJA** ×10<sup>11</sup>

#### CDNUMC CESM2-LE SON





### albedo BorealFire MAM



#### albedo BorealFire DJF



### albedo CESM2-LE MAM



0.1

0



0

0.05

0.1

#### -0.1 -0.2 -0.15 -0.05

### albedo CESM2-LE DJF



### **Difference DJF**







### albedo BorealFire SON





### albedo CESM2-LE JJA



0.15

0.2

### albedo CESM2-LE SON



#### **Difference SON**







#### **Appendix C: Overview of emission factors used in this study**

**Table C1.** Emission factors in grams of species per kilogram dry matter (DM) burned. Note that  $NO_x$  is listed as NO. SAVA: savanna, grassland, and shrubland fires; BORF: boreal forest fires; TEMF: temperate forest fires; DEFO: tropical deforestation and degradation; PEAT: peat fires; and AGRI: agricultural waste burning.

	SAVA	BORF	TEMF	DEFO	PEAT	AGRI
DM	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000
С	488.27	464.99	489.42	491.75	570.05	480.35
BC	0.37	0.5	0.5	0.52	0.04	0.75
CH <sub>4</sub>	1.94	5.96	3.36	5.07	20.8	5.82
CO	63	127	88	93	210	102
H <sub>2</sub>	1.7	2.03	2.03	3.36	3.36	2.59
$N_2O$	0.2	0.41	0.16	0.2	0.2	0.1
NH <sub>3</sub>	0.52	2.72	0.84	1.33	1.33	2.17
NO	3.9	0.9	1.92	2.55	1	3.11
OC	2.62	9.6	9.6	4.71	6.02	2.3
SO <sub>2</sub>	0.48	1.1	1.1	0.4	0.4	0.4
$C_2H_6$	0.66	1.79	0.63	0.71	0.71	0.91
CH <sub>3</sub> OH	1.18	2.82	1.74	2.43	8.46	3.29
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub> OH	0.024	0.055	0.1	0.037	0.037	0.035
C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>8</sub>	0.1	0.44	0.22	0.126	0.126	0.28
$C_2H_2$	0.24	0.18	0.26	0.44	0.06	0.27
$C_2H_4$	0.82	1.42	1.17	1.06	2.57	1.46
С <sub>3</sub> Н <sub>6</sub>	0.79	1.13	0.61	0.64	3.05	0.68
$C_5H_8$	0.039	0.15	0.099	0.13	1.38	0.38
$C_{10}H_{16}$	0.081	2.003	2.003	0.15	0.15	0.005
$C_7H_8$	0.08	0.48	0.19	0.26	1.55	0.19
$C_6H_6$	0.2	1.11	0.27	0.39	3.19	0.15
$C_{8}H_{10}$	0.014	0.18	0.13	0.11	0.11	0.114
Toluene lump	0.27	1.63	0.54	0.70	4.36	0.42
Higher alkenes	0.13	0.38	0.37	0.27	0.27	0.33
Higher alkanes	0.05	0.35	0.22	0.07	0.07	0.34
CH <sub>2</sub> O	0.73	1.86	2.09	1.73	1.4	2.08
$C_2H_4O$	0.57	0.77	0.77	1.55	3.27	1.24
C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>6</sub> O	0.16	0.75	0.54	0.63	1.25	0.45
$C_2H_6S$	0.0013	0.00465	0.008	0.00135	0.00135	0.0013
HCN	0.41	1.52	0.72	0.42	8.11	0.29
НСООН	0.21	0.57	0.28	0.79	0.38	1
CH₃COOH	3.55	4.41	2.13	3.05	8.97	5.59
MEK	0.181	0.22	0.13	0.5	0.5	0.9
CH <sub>3</sub> COCHO	0.73	0.73	0.73	0.73	0.73	0.73
	~ ~ ~	0.06	0.07	0.74	0.74	0 - 1

Appendix D: Regional comparison between CMIP6 and CMIP5



![](_page_43_Figure_0.jpeg)

Figure 7.36 Measured and modeled annual mean time series of surface-level SO4 at 13 Arctic locations.

![](_page_43_Figure_2.jpeg)