

Changes to the hydrostatic spectral-elements dynamical core for CESM3: SE-CSLAM

Peter Hjort Lauritzen, NCAR February 12, 2024

This material is based upon work supported by the National Center for Atmospheric Research, which is a major facility sponsored by the National Science Foundation under Cooperative Agreement No. 1852977.

Outline: From HOMME* to CAM-SE-CSLAM

- Dry-mass vertical coordinate
- Separate physics grid and tracer transport grid/scheme
- Condensates incl. in pressure; variable latent heats / coupling with MOM6
- Reference profiles for hyperviscosity
- High top stability
- Computational speed-up

*High-Order Method Modeling Environment



Changes energy
equation!

From moist to dry-mass vertical coordinate system

Consider a 'moist' η -coordinate system: The pressure is given by

$$p(\eta) = A(\eta)p_0 + B(\eta)ps,$$

where ps is 'moist' surface pressure.

In a floating η -coordinate system, $\dot{\eta} = 0$, the continuity equation for p can be written as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial \eta} \right) \right] + \nabla \cdot \left[\left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial \eta} \right) \vec{v} \right] = S^p,$$

where $S^p(q_v)$ is the source/sink term for pressure ($q_v \equiv$ specific humidity).

If one uses a dry mass vertical coordinate

$$p(\eta_d) = A(\eta_d)p_0 + B(\eta_d)ps_d,$$

See also ECMWF Technical Memoranda https://www.ecmwf.int/en/elib

https://www.ecmwf.int/en/elib rary/81081-dry-mass-versus-t otal-mass-conservation-ifs

where ps_d is dry surface pressure, then the continuity equation for pressure does not have sources/sinks

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\left(\frac{\partial p_d}{\partial \eta_d} \right) \right] + \nabla \cdot \left[\left(\frac{\partial p_d}{\partial \eta_d} \right) \vec{v} \right] = 0.$$

Changes to other equations not shown!



Lauritzen et al. (2018); https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1029/2017MS001257

Separate physics, transport and dynamics grid



Figure 1. An overview of the different grids in CAM-SE-CSLAM.

For CESM3 we use pg3 grid for CAM physics!

Separating grids is not trivial - mapping between grids must be done carefully! https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1029/2019ms001684

Transport scheme: Conservative Semi-LAgrangian Multi-tracer scheme consistent coupling with spectral-elements dycore described here https://journals.ametsoc.org/view/journals/mwre/145/3/mwr-d-16-0258.1.xml)

Note: Dry-mass vertical coordinate makes CSLAM-SE dycore coupling more consistent!

DOE E3SM is using similar approach (but transport scheme faster and supports variable resolution grids)

UK Met Office is exploring separation of grids as well



HOMME: Constant latent heats formulation of dynamical core

Large-scale models have traditionally assumed that dry air and water vapor constitutes moist air and the specific heat for water vapor is assumed that of dry air which leads to the following total energy equation for the atmosphere



(ice reference enthalpy, $\overline{\widetilde{T}}_s \equiv \overline{T}_{atm,s} = \overline{T}_{surf,s}$)



HOMME: Constant latent heats formulation of dynamical core

Large vapor assur equat

Choosing the same heat capacity for all components of moist air -> constant latent heats: Latent heat of sublimation (solid \rightarrow water vapor): $L_s(T) = L_{s,00} + (c_n^{(wv)} - c_n^{(ice)}) (T - T_{00}), \text{ where } L_{s,00} \equiv h_{00}^{(wv)} - h_{00}^{(ice)}.$ $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \iiint \rho^{(d)} \left\{ \overline{K} + \overline{\Phi}_s + c_p^{(d)} \overline{T} + \sum_{\alpha} m^{(\ell)} \left[\overline{K} + \overline{\Phi}_s + c_p^{(\ell)} (\overline{T} - T_{00}) + h_{00}^{(ice)} \right] \right\}$ $\mathcal{L}_{H_2O} =' wv' + \overline{m}^{(wv)}L_{s,00} + \overline{m}^{(liq)}L_{f,00} \bigg\} dA dz$ $c_n^{(\ell)} = c_n^{(d)}$ $\sum_{k=1}^{\ell} F_{net}^{(\ell)} \left[\widetilde{\widetilde{K}}_s + \overline{\Phi}_s + c^{(\ell)} \left(\widetilde{\widetilde{T}}_s - I_{00} \right) + h_{00}^{(ice)} \right] + \overline{F}_{net}^{(wv)} L_{s,00} + \overline{F}_{net}^{(liq)} L_{f,00} + \overline{F}_{net}^{(turb,rad)} \right\} dA.$ = // (94)

(ice reference enthalpy, $\overline{\widetilde{T}}_s \equiv \overline{T}_{atm,s} = \overline{T}_{surf,s}$)



SE-CSLAM: Variable latent heats formulation of dynamical core

Switched to variable latent heat formulation and incl. all components of moist air in pressure:

 $\mathcal{L}_{H_2O} \equiv \{wv, cl, ci, rn, sw, gr\}$ $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \iiint \rho^{(d)} \left\{ \overline{K} + \overline{\Phi}_s + c_p^{(d)} \overline{T} + \bigvee_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}_{H_2O}} m^{(\ell)} \left[\overline{K} + \overline{\Phi}_s + c_p^{(\ell)} \left(\overline{T} - T_{00} \right) + h_{00}^{(ice)} \right] \right\}$ $+ \overline{m}^{(wv)}L_{s,00} + \overline{m}^{(liq)}L_{f,00}\bigg\} dA \, dz$ $= \iint \bigg\{ \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}_{H_2O}} F_{net}^{(\ell)} \left[\widetilde{K}_s + \Phi_s + c_n^{(\ell)} \left(\overline{\widetilde{T}} - \overline{T}_{00} \right) + h_{00}^{(ice)} \right] + \overline{F}_{net}^{(wv)} L_{s,00} + \overline{F}_{net}^{(liq)} L_{f,00} + \overline{F}_{net}^{(turb,rad)} \bigg\} dA.$

Energy fixer in CAM physics reformulated to be consistent with SE dynamical core (and MPAS dynamical core based on a constant volume energy formula)

At end of physics energy increment in physics made consistent with the dynamical core (for SE heating added under the assumption of using variable latent heats)

(94)

(ice reference enthalpy,
$$\widetilde{T}_s \equiv T_{atm,s} = T_{surf,s}$$
)



SE-CSLAM: Variable latent heats formulation of dynamical core

Assuming pressure is constant (94) is satisfied in CAM physics. At the end of physics pressure is updated (to reflect changes of water in the column). The energy change associated with that is corrected with global energy fixer (we do not explicitly incl. enthalpy flux terms)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \iiint \rho^{(d)} \left\{ K + \Phi_s + c_p^{(d)} T + \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}_{H_2O}} m^{(\ell)} \left[K + \Phi_s + c_p^{(\ell)} \left(T - T_{00} \right) + h_{00}^{(ice)} \right] \right. \\ \left. + m^{(wv)} L_{s,00} + m^{(liq)} L_{f,00} \right\} dA \, dz \\ = \iint \left\{ \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}_{H_2O}} F_{net}^{(\ell)} \left[K_s + \Phi_s + c_p^{(\ell)} \left(\widetilde{T}_s - \widetilde{T}_{00} \right) + h_{00}^{(ice)} \right] + F_{net}^{(wv)} L_{s,00} + F_{net}^{(liq)} L_{f,00} + F_{net}^{(turb,rad)} \right\} dA.$$

$$(94)$$

(ice reference enthalpy, $\widetilde{T}_s \equiv T_{atm,s} = T_{surf,s}$)

Kinetic and potential energy of water leaving/entering atmosphere small compared to enthalpy flux terms



SE-CSLAM: Variable latent heats formulation of dynamical core

Most of the energy fixer (excl. dynamical core energy errors) fixes energy change due to entahlpy flux:

$$\approx \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}_{H_2O}} F_{net}^{(\ell)} \left[c_p^{(\ell)} \left(\tilde{T}_s - T_{00} \right) + h_{00}^{(ice)} \right] + F_{net}^{(wv)} L_{s,00} + F_{net}^{(liq)} L_{f,00},$$

(ice reference state,
$$\tilde{T}_s \equiv T_{atm,s} = T_{surf,s}$$
)

Kinetic and potential energy of water leaving/entering atmosphere small compared to enthalpy flux terms



Enthalpy flux terms and coupling with MOM6

MOM6 uses enthalpy flux in its boundary condition (using a liquid reference state) but since CAM currently can't receive these fluxes, they are fixed with a global fixer through sensible heat flux to atmosphere:

$$F_{net}^{(h)} \approx F_{net}^{(H_2O)} \left[c_p^{(liq)} \left(\tilde{T}_s - T_{00} \right) + h_{00}^{(liq)} \right] + F_{net}^{(wv)} L_v \left(\tilde{Y}_{v} \right) - F_{net}^{(ice)} L_f \left(\tilde{Y}_{v} \right) \right]$$

$$\left(\text{liquid reference state, } \tilde{T}_s \equiv T_{atm,s} = T_{surf,s} \right)$$
MOM6 assumes constant latent heats

Loosely speaking: each components does it's own thing and fixes its own thing independently of each other ...



Enthalpy flux te

MOM6 uses er reference state are fixed with a

> Σ \approx $\ell \in \mathcal{L}_{H_2}$

Loosely speaki own thing indep



ing a liquid these fluxes, they o atmosphere:

- $F_{net}^{(ice)}L_{f,00}$

MOM6 assumes constant latent heats

g and fixes its

Our proposal:

Both components use variable latent heats (and be very careful with different reference states) and enthalpy flux incl. in CAM energy equation, then the fluxes match and we can eliminate "sensible heat flux fixer" in coupler and atmospheric global energy fixer will fix less

$$\approx \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}_{H_2O}} F_{net}^{(\ell)} \left[c_p^{(\ell)} \left(\tilde{T}_s - T_{00} \right) + h_{00}^{(liq)} \right] + F_{net}^{(wv)} L_{v,00} - F_{net}^{(ice)} L_{f,00}$$
MOM6

CAM7

(liquid reference state, $\tilde{T}_s \equiv T_{atm,s} = T_{surf,s}$)

$$\approx \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}_{H_2O}} F_{net}^{(\ell)} \left[c_p^{(\ell)} \left(\tilde{T}_s - T_{00} \right) + h_{00}^{(ice)} \right] + F_{net}^{(wv)} L_{s,00} + F_{net}^{(liq)} L_{f,00},$$

(ice reference state, $\tilde{T}_s \equiv T_{atm,s} = T_{surf,s}$)



Our proposal:

Status

Both compo different ref equation, th flux fixer" in

Variable latent heat formulation of SE-CSLAM - done

Changing energy formulation in CAM physics - done

Since CAM physics does not use variable latent heats the temperature tendencies are scaled as if the heating had been added under variable latent heat assumptions before returning them to the dycore - done

Code to send enthalpy fluxes from/to CAM - in progress

(thanks to Jim Edwards for CMEPS support)

 $\mathcal{L}_{h_{2}O} \xrightarrow{\text{net } [op (1, 5, 100)]} \xrightarrow{\text{net } 25,00} \xrightarrow{\text{net } 25,00} \xrightarrow{\text{net } 1} f_{,00},$



MOM6

CAM7

(ice reference state, $\tilde{T}_s \equiv T_{atm,s} = T_{surf,s}$)

Outline: From HOMME* to CAM-SE-CSLAM

- Dry-mass vertical coordinate
- Separate physics grid and tracer transport grid/scheme
- Condensates incl. in pressure; variable latent heats / coupling with MOM6
- Reference profiles for hyperviscosity
- High top stability:
- Computational speed-up

*High-Order Method Modeling Environment



Changes energy equation!

$$\nabla^4_{\eta}T \rightarrow \nabla^4_{\eta}\left(T - T^{(\mathrm{ref})}\right)$$

 $\nabla^4_\eta \delta p^{(d)} \to \nabla^4_\eta \left(\delta p^{(d)} - \delta p^{(\mathrm{ref})} \right).$

where the reference profiles are given by



Significant improvement in removing noise for flow over orography can be achieved by using reference profiles for temperature and pressure

$$T^{(\text{ref})} = T_0 + T_1 \Pi^{(\text{ref})},$$
 (A1)

$$p_s^{(\text{ref})} = p_0 \exp\left(-\frac{\Phi_s}{R^{(d)}T_{ref}}\right),\tag{A2}$$

(Simmons & Jiabin, 1991) where $T_1 = \Gamma_0 T_{ref} c_p^{(d)} / g \approx 192K$, with gravity g, and standard lapse rate $\Gamma_0 \equiv 6.5$ K/ km and $T_0 \equiv T_{ref} - T_1 \approx 97$ K; $T_{ref} = 288$ K ($c_p^{(d)}$ specific heat of dry air at constant pressure; $R^{(d)}$ gas constant for dry air), and Φ_s is the surface geopotential. The reference Exner function is

$$\Pi^{(\text{ref})} = \left(\frac{p^{(\text{ref})}}{p_0}\right)^{\kappa} \tag{A3}$$

https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.co m/doi/epdf/10.1029/2022MS003192 (collaboration with M. Taylor DOE) where $\kappa = \frac{R^{(d)}}{c_p^{(d)}}$. The reference surface pressure $p_0 = 1,000$ hPa and at each model level the reference pressure $p^{(ref)}$ is computed from $p_s^{(ref)}$ and the standard hybrid coefficients

Similarly for the pressure-gradient force (PGF)



One year averages of vertical pressure velocity at 500 hPa (OMEGA500): AMIP



https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/epdf/10.1029/2022MS003192 (collaboration with M. Taylor DOE)



One year averages of vertical pressure velocity at 500 hPa (OMEGA500): AMIP



https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/epdf/10.1029/2022MS003192 (collaboration with M. Taylor DOE)



=> We can now run with rougher topography similar to what is used in MPAS, that is, use half the smoothing scale compared to what we used in CESM2 (this is currently being explored)



velocity at 500 hPa (OMEGA500): AMIP

SE-CSLAM: Exner PGF + ref profiles in del4 (CESM2.2)

https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/epdf/10.1029/2022MS003192 (collaboration with M. Taylor DOE)



Outline: From HOMME* to CAM-SE-CSLAM

- Dry-mass vertical coordinate
- Separate physics grid and tracer transport grid/scheme
- Condensates incl. in pressure; variable latent heats / coupling with MOM6
- Reference profiles for hyperviscosity
- High top stability: (see WAWG session)
- Computational speed-up

*High-Order Method Modeling Environment



Changes energy equation!

Computational speed-up

No double-advection of thermodynamic active tracers and other changes ...

```
Timing for coupled simulation (old dycore):
      Overall Metrics:
         Model Cost:
                                6436.15
                                          pe-hrs/simulated year
         Model Throughput:
                                   8.11
                                          simulated years/day
Timing for coupled simulation (faster dycore + pe layout1)
      Overall Metrics:
         Model Cost:
                                          pe-hrs/simulated year
                                5135.40
     Model Throughput:
                                       simulated years/day
                              10.77
```

*High-Order Method Modeling Environment











Vational Center for Atmospheric Research is a major facility sponsored by the ASA under Cooperative Agreement No. 185297