

Whole Atmosphere Working Group Overview and **Developments**

CESM Atmosphere, Chemistry-Climate, and Whole Atmosphere Winter WG Meeting

Nick Pedatella (HAO), Daniele Visioni (Cornell), Mijeong Park (ACOM), and WACCM/WACCM-X Developers NCAR

12 February 2024

Primary WAWG Developments and Updates

- WACCM
 - Transitioned development to cam_dev physics and cam6_3_132 tag
 - Addressed issues with model stability
 - HB diffusion
 - Updates to SE dycore for stability (Peter Lauritzen)
 - Significantly improved model throughput
 - Baseline simulations as benchmark for evaluation and future tuning
 - Inline photolysis and heating using TUV-x (Doug Kinnison)
- WACCM-X
 - Extension of SE dycore into the thermosphere (Hanli Liu)
 - Preliminary tests with NE16/L189 and cam6_3_132
 - Long term historical and projection simulations of space climate



Instabilities in SE-WACCM required short timestep, leading to high model cost and slow throughput





SE-WACCM instabilities addressed by adjustments to the sponge layer and divergence damping





SE-WACCM Model Cost and Performance

• 2 degree:

Model Cost: ~4,500 pe-hours/simulated year running on ~1500 processors Model Throughput: ~8 simulated_years/day

• 1 degree:

Model Cost: (~25,000) pe-hours/simulated year running on ~5400 processors Model Throughput: ~5 simulated_years/day

- Above results are F-cases with reduced (MA) chemistry
- Without addressing model stability the cost increases by ~2x and the throughput is significantly worse (~1yr/day at 1°)



Zonal Mean Zonal Winds (DJF) 2° SE-WACCM



tag93: "best" tuned version from Nick Davis



Zonal Mean Zonal Winds (JJA) 2° SE-WACCM



tag93: "best" tuned version from Nick Davis



QBO Comparison (2° SE-WACCM)





Southern Hemisphere polar cap temperatures



2° SE-WACCM

black contours denote MERRA2 zonal-mean U



Inline Photolysis and Heating using TUV-x

- TUV-x is running in a version of CESM2 WACCM6 with TSMLT1 chemistry.
- TUV-x can include both clouds and aerosols in the radiative transfer. This is big improvement over the current LUT approach.
- Initial comparisons of photolysis rates are consistent with the LUT approach (right).
- The inline TUV-x photolysis heating and chemical potential heating is also consistent with the LUT approach (right).
- Next steps are to add a realistic representation of cloud overlap.
- More details from Doug Kinnison (Tuesday AM)



QRS_Total = QRS+QCP+QRS_EUV+QRS_CO2NIR+QRS_AUR+QTHERMAL



WACCM-X Developments

- SE dynamical core extended into the thermosphere
 - Species dependent dynamical core
 - Molecular viscosity/diffusion in horizontal direction
 - Regridding between physics mesh and geomagnetic grid
 - More details from Hanli Liu (Tuesday AM)
- Configurations
 - NE16/L130 (CAM6 physics)
 - NE16/L189 (CAM development physics)
 - NE60/L130
 - NE120/L273
- Long-term simulations for historical (1920-2010) and future space climate (2000-2090)



Physics Mesh (Latitude-Longitude-height; Cubed-sphere or other irregular grid) Geomagnetic Grid





WACCM-X Historical and Future Space Climate Simulations



Physics time step in WACCM-X (5 min) requires adjustment to CLUBB/microphysics - Parameters tuned based on 10 year CAM/WACCM runs by Adam Herrington

Surface warming in coupled runs is still larger than expected

(McInerney et al., 2024)



WAWG Timeline



Results for WACCM-X NE16/L189 and CAM development physics



Thermosphere temperature is increased and composition (O/N2) decreased in NE16/L189

Model Cost: FV (~22,000 pe-hr/yr; 0.56 sim yr/day), SE (~46,000 pe-hr/yr; 0.25 sim yr/day)



RESTOM and Annual Mean/Global Mean Ts



