Implementing a parameterization of convective gravity waves due to the obstacle effect in CAM/WACCM

M. Bramberger, M. Joan Alexander, Julio Bacmeister, Milena Corcos, Albert Hertzog, Chuntao Liu, Yaga Richter, Isla Simpson, Corwin Wright

13th February 2024





Moving Mountain Mechanism

Overview:

- Waves are stationary relative to the cloud motion
- Shear at the top of latent heating generates waves with this mechanism analogous to a mountain wave
- Evidence from numerical and observational studies that these waves have larger amplitudes -> more momentum flux
- The parameterization is based on a linear model for waves emitted from stationary heat sources described in Beres et al. (2004)
- It uses a lookup table approach where the lookup table is a function of wind speed and latent heating depth

The hope:

Parameterization will provide drag at low phase speeds which may improve representation of QBO in lowermost stratosphere



Comparison of Parameterized and Observed Momentum Fluxes



Large momentum fluxes >100mPa are missing in WACCM

Realistic sources lead to realistic parameterization of high-speed GW spectrum, but low phase speeds are missing

 \cap Phase Speed (m s^{-1})

WACCM6

Preconcordiasi

Beres-TRMM

50

100

0.3

0.2

0.1

-0.0

-0.1

-0.2

-0 3

-100

-50

Flux

Momentum

Alexander, M. J., Liu, C. C., Bacmeister, J., Bramberger, M., Hertzog, A., & Richter, J. H. (2021). Observational validation of parameterized gravity waves from tropical convection in the Whole Atmosphere Community Climate Model. J. Geophys. Res.: Atmos., 126, e2020JD033954. https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JD033954 NWRA

Comparison of Parameterized and Observed Momentum Fluxes



- TRMM describing the convective sources and ERAi describe the winds
- Mechanism provides momentum fluxes at low phase speeds (5-10 m/s) where WACCM needs them

- Large amplitudes might force QBO descent to lower altitudes?
- Enhanced westward wave flux for improving easterly phase descent?

Input parameters of the parameterization

Assumption: convective cell moving in the direction and with speed of U_{700hPa}

- Input parameters:
 - Latent heating depth
 - Wind at top of latent heating relative to cell motion
 - Peak heating strength
 - CF = 5% (areal fraction of convective plumes in the grid cell)

$$Q_0 = Q/CF$$

$$M_0(c_j) = CL_\tau Q_0^2 K(c_j)$$



Differences to existing Beres scheme

- Relevant wind is in the frame of reference moving with the convective plume
- Wind shear at the top of the convective cell
- Wave propagation direction is opposite to the relevant cloud top wind
- Momentum flux is not a spectrum of phase speeds, but a single phase speed





Differences to "Boundary layer MM scheme"

- Use Zhang–McFarlane scheme as latent heating input
- Steering level at 700hPa
- Launch level is top of latent heating:



First results – Vertical Zonal Drag Distribution



- Input drag in troposphere and stratosphere
- Additional drag in stratosphere might improve QBO in lowermost stratosphere

First results – Global Distribution

77 hPa



First results – Momentum Flux Distribution





Observational Constrains – Strateole 2

- Just finished 10 year run
- Still need to tune the parameterization
- Constrains for tuning:





Observational Constrains – Strateole 2



- Filtering at low stratospheric altitudes of waves with low phase speeds
- We will us these observations to tune the parameterization

Conclusions

- We have implemented the moving mountain mechanism as additional convective gravity wave source
- We use a lookup table approach based on Beres et al. (2004)
- Drag mostly distributed
 - around equator and SH
 - Upper troposphere and mid stratosphere
- No tuning yet, but constrains provided by balloon measurements

Next Steps

- Analyze impact of moving mountain drag on QBO and SH stratospheric vortex
- Constrain tuning of parameterization with balloon measurements
- Experiment with combination of CLUBB and ZM heating
- Experiment with variable steering wind level (at the moment set to 700hPa)

