

Systematic Land Model Calibration

Quantifying parametric uncertainty and working towards automated calibration

Linnia Hawkins, Daniel Kennedy, Katie Dagon, Pierre Gentine, Dave Lawrence

This material is based upon work supported by the National Center for Atmospheric Research, which is a major facility sponsored by the National Science Foundation under Cooperative Agreement No. 1852977.

NCAR

EAP



LEARNING THE EARTH WITH ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE AND PHYSICS

- 1. Push the frontiers of ML to create algorithms that discover and leverage physical and causal knowledge
- 2. Accelerate CESM development with novel parameterizations enabled by ML and growing datasets
- 3. Establish and deploy a modern cloud computing infrastructure for climate data, LEAPangeo.
- 4. Establish systematic ML-based methodology for calibration of Earth System Models



Approach

Establish systematic ML-based methodology for calibration of Earth System Model parameters





Leaf Area Index (m² leaf m⁻² ground)

- Observations of leaf area are global and robust
- Vegetation structure is foundational
- CLM5.0 biases:

Metrics

- too high in tropics and arctic
- timing of seasonal peak
 - early in tropics & late in arctic
- trend is too strong
- interannual variability is underestimated







- Latin Hypercube Ensemble
 - 500 ensemble members (1850-2014)
 - 32 parameters varying simultaneously





Surrogate models

Training ML-based emulators for an ESM

- Fast & computationally cheap
- Explore parameter space
- Assess parameter sensitivity
- Detect interactions and non-linearities



Figure courtesy of Duncan Watson-Parris duncanwp.github.io



ML Emulation



























Global mean doesn't considerably constrain parameter space

- trade-offs & compensation between parameters
- equifinality between parameter sets







Global mean doesn't considerably constrain parameter space

- trade-offs & compensation between parameters
- equifinality between parameter sets

Targeting individual PFT's better constrains posteriors



Max Conductance



Constrain



Global mean doesn't considerably constrain parameter space

- trade-offs & compensation between parameters
- equifinality between parameter sets

Targeting individual PFT's better constrains posteriors

Strategically add metrics to further constrain plausible parameter ranges.



Max Conductance



Constrain

Optimization

Markov chain Monte Carlo



- ✓ Constrained posterior distributions
- ✔ Robust emulator(s)

Optimize

- Optimizations techniques (e.g., MCMC)
- Identify improved parameter sets
- Re-run CLM





Systematic calibration requires strategic design





Outcomes

- **Systematic calibration workflow:** transferable across model configurations
- Accessibility: Easier for community to apply the model for specific applications
 - Point / Region
 - Tune to your data
- **Insight:** improve our understanding of CLM parameters and processes

Community input!





LH3194@columbia.edu







Identify relative influence of parameters



NCAR

UCAR

 $L \in \land P$

Figure from Linnia Hawkins

25