## **ENSO** forecast skill in a changing climate

Jiale Lou<sup>1,2</sup>, Matthew Newman<sup>2</sup>, Andrew Hoell<sup>2</sup>, and Andrew Wittenberg<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Cooperative Institute for Research in the Environmental Sciences, University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, CO, USA <sup>2</sup>Physical Sciences Laboratory, NOAA, Boulder, CO, USA.

<sup>3</sup>Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory, NOAA, Princeton, NJ, USA.

2023 CESM Climate Variability and Change Winter Working Group Meeting



### Motivation: Multi-decadal variation of ENSO forecast skill



#### Root mean square skill score of SST at 6-month lead

Ding et al. (2018; J. Clim.)

Figure: Model-analog and NMME hindcast skills of monthly SSTa at 6-month forecast lead for the period of 1982-2015.

Screenshot: The real-time seasonal ENSO predictions made by **model-analog technique**.

https://www.psl.noaa.gov/forecasts/seasonal/

## ENSO forecast skill underwent multi-decadal variations with the minimum skill in the middle of 20<sup>th</sup> century.



Figure: Cross-verification of the ensemble-mean anomaly correlation (AC) skill evolution of NINO3.4 predictions over the 30-year sliding hindcast windows for NINO3.4 time series.

#### **Probabilistic ENSO forecast skill underwent multi-decadal variations** with the minimum skill in the middle of 20<sup>th</sup> century.

ROC score: hit rate vs. false alarm rate

## ROC score = 1Perfect scoreROC score < 0.5</td>No skill

ROC score evolution of probabilistic ENSO predictions



Figure: Predictive relative operating characteristic (ROC) area evolution for (a) La Niña condition and (b) El Niño condition based on NINO3.4 time series over the 30-year moving hindcast windows.

### ENSO forecast skill corresponds well with the variance change



Figure: same as in previous slide

Figure: 30-yr moving variance of NINO3.4 index based on seven observations.

(Lou, Newman, and Hoell. submitted)

# Question: How much can we attribute the past multi-decadal variation of ENSO forecast skill to climate change vs. internal variability?

### **Experiment design**

Experiment 1: Library: CESM2 **piControl** simulation (1200 year)

Experiment 2: Library: CESM2 large ensemble (CESM2LENS; 100 ensemble members)

12-year moving window [12 (year) \*100 (ensemble)=1200 samples] e.g., verification year: 1972, library year: 1960-1971

Experiment 3: Perfect model experiment CESM2LENS 10-year chunk of period (1871-1880; 1881-1890; ... 2001-2010; 2011-2020)

#### **CESM2** piControl vs. historical large ensemble



Figure: AC skill of NINO3.4 for the period of 1871-2020.



Figure: Seasonality of NINO3.4 forecast skill.

#### **Perfect model experiment – CESM2LENS**



Figure: 30-yr moving standard deviation of NINO3.4 in CESM2LENS.

Figure: Perfect-model AC skill of NINO3.4 predictions over the 30-yr moving hindcast windows.

#### **Relationship between ENSO forecast skill and its variance**



Since each ensemble member simulates ENSO variations differently, we selected a few that correctly reproduce the minimum variance observed in the mid-20<sup>th</sup> century.



The ENSO forecast skill **decreases** due to the **reduction** of ENSO variance in the mid-20<sup>th</sup> century.

#### Summary

#### Conclusions:

- ENSO forecast skill underwent multi-decadal variations with the minimum skill in the middle of 20<sup>th</sup> century;
- La Niña predictions have been generally more skillful than El Niño, at both short and long leads **in recent decades**, but this difference may be **transient**.

Ongoing: How much can we attribute the past multi-decadal variation of ENSO forecast skill to climate change vs. internal variability?

- ENSO variability is mainly **internally forced**;
- If externally-forced ENSO variance increases in a changing climate, the forecast skill will increase correspondingly.

J. Lou, M. Newman, A. Hoell. Multi-decadal variation of ENSO forecast skill since the late 1800s. (submitted) J. Lou, M. Newman, A. Hoell, A. Wittenberg. ENSO forecast skill in a changing climate. (in prep.)



Email: jiale.lou@noaa.gov



# Multi-decadal variation of ENSO forecast skill since the late 1800s using the model-analog technique

Analog: if two atmospheric states resemble each other rather closely, each of the state can be viewed as equivalent to the other state plus reasonably small perturbations (Lorenz 1969).



Advantages:

• Construct model-analogs to estimate its own state (no initialization shock)

• Forecasts with no additional integration needed (computationally cheap)

# Model-analog skill is comparable to skill from the traditional assimilation-initialized operational model (ECMWF SEAS5) since 1900s.



Figure: Seasonal mean AC skill of NINO3.4 predictions as a function of hindcast period on the horizontal axis and forecast lead time on the vertical axis.



Years

#### Multi-decadal variation of ENSO forecast skill



#### AC skill evolution of NINO3.4 predictions

## Supplement

(a) AC skill of NINO3.4 predictions (b) Taylor diagram of NINO3.4 predictions 1.0 Best nine CMIP6 models 0.2 <sub>0.3</sub> 0.1 5 5000 bootstrapping samples - CESM2\* -0.4 ACCESS-ESM1.5 CanESM5 0.5 0.8 Correlation GISS-E2.1-G Standard deviation (Normalized) 0.5 1.0 0.6 HadGEM3-GC31-MM\* HadGEM3-GC31-LL\* INM-CM5.0 **IPSL-CM6A-LR** AC skill 0.6 MIROC6 0.8 MPI-ESM1.2-LR MRI-ESM2.0 SAM0-UNICON\* UKESM1.0-LL\* 0.4 0.9 CAMS-CSM1.0 CIESM\* E3SM1.0 EC-Earth3\* 0.95 0.5 FGOALS-g3 0.2 GFDL-ESM4\* GISS-E2.1-H **KIOST-ESM** 0.99 MIROC-ES2L MPI-ESM1.2-HAM 0.0 --- NESM3 REF 0.0 NorESM2-LM\* 0.5 1.0 1.5 20 5 10 15 Standard deviation (Normalized) lead time [months]