

Enhancing the ability of the atmosphere to respond to subgrid land surface heterogeneity

AMWG 2023

Megan D. Fowler¹, Adam Herrington¹, Richard B. Neale¹, Jason S. Simon^{2,3}, David M. Lawrence¹, Nathaniel W. Chaney², Paul A. Dirmeyer⁴, Vincent E. Larson^{5,6}, Meng Huang⁵, and John Truesdale¹

¹NCAR

²Duke

³Saint Augustine ⁴George Mason ⁵PNNL

⁶UW-Milwaukee



January 31, 2023





Image: wallpaperflare.com

This material is based upon work supported by the National Center for Atmospheric Research, which is a major facility sponsored by the National Science Foundation under Cooperative Agreement No. 1852977.

Land-atmosphere interactions

- Relatively small-scale land surface heterogeneity can impact the overlying atmosphere
 - Boundary layer cumulus (Berg and Stull, 2005)
 - Generation of mesoscale circulations (Doran et al., 1995; Avissar and Schmidt, 1998; Bou-Zeid et al. 2005)
 - LWP and TKE (Simon et al. 2021)



Figure courtesy of Nate Chaney



Land-atmosphere interactions

- Relatively small-scale land surface heterogeneity can impact the overlying atmosphere
 - Boundary layer cumulus (Berg and Stull, 2005)
 - Generation of mesoscale circulations (Doran et al., 1995; Avissar and Schmidt, 1998; Bou-Zeid et al. 2005)
 - LWP and TKE (Simon et al. 2021)
- Land-atmosphere coupling in most global climate models relies only on grid-cell mean values (i.e., fluxes)



Coupled model: Reality

Figure courtesy of Nate Chaney



CLASP CPT: <u>Coupling of Land & Atmospheric Subgrid</u> <u>Parameterizations</u>



Figure courtesy of Nate Chaney





Adapted from Lawrence et al., 2019

 In practice: break down a gridcell based on area covered by each "patch" (surface type)

Irrigated	Forest
Crop	30%
50% of	Urban
gridcell	20%





Adapted from Lawrence et al., 2019

 In practice: break down a gridcell based on area covered by each "patch" (surface type)



Equally possible:





CLUBB predicts higher order moments of temperature θ_l , moisture q_t , and velocity w via an assumed double gaussian





The addition of a multi-plume mass-flux scheme (CLUBB-MF) introduces updraft heterogeneity



CLUBB predicts higher order moments of temperature θ_l , moisture q_t , and velocity w via an assumed double gaussian





Surface below plumes has a single grid-mean latent/sensible heat flux





Surface below plumes has a single grid-mean latent/sensible heat flux



Surface below plumes reflects actual sub-grid surface fluxes/temperature





How can we link this sub-grid information together?

Initiate plumes over actual sub-grid surface patches

- Pass patch-level data through the coupler to CAM
- Initiate MF plumes according to area of each patch
- Replaces grid-mean LHFLX, SHFLX, and surface temperature with patch-level values



How can we link this sub-grid information together?

Initiate plumes over actual sub-grid surface patches

- Pass patch-level data through the coupler to CAM
- Initiate MF plumes according to area of each patch
- Replaces grid-mean LHFLX, SHFLX, and surface temperature with patch-level values

Initial tests: Single-column (SCAM) ensemble

- Focus on ARM Southern Great Plains (SGP) site
- 74 warm-season, shallow convection days driven by LASSO VARANAL forcing
- 2-day hindcasts, using the second day for analysis



How can we link this sub-grid information together?

Initiate plumes over actual sub-grid surface patches

- Pass patch-level data through the coupler to CAM
- Initiate MF plumes according to area of each patch
- Replaces grid-mean LHFLX, SHFLX, and surface temperature with patch-level values

Initial tests: Single-column (SCAM) ensemble

- Focus on ARM Southern Great Plains (SGP) site
- 74 warm-season, shallow convection days driven by LASSO VARANAL forcing
- 2-day hindcasts, using the second day for analysis

Preliminary results ahead!



Surface heterogeneity increases the vertical variances in CLUBB-MF plumes



MF plume ensembles of updraft temperature when using a homogeneous surface (top) vs. a heterogeneous one (bottom). Averages taken over all 74 days and over selected hours.







- Updraft area and vertical velocity could also be varied depending on surface type
 - Khaled Ghanam has demonstrated the impact of such an approach





- Updraft area and vertical velocity could also be varied depending on surface type
 - Khaled Ghanam has demonstrated the impact of such an approach
- Could communicate the information *back* to the land model
 - Information on precipitation/cloud cover?
 - Information on downdrafts/winds?





- Updraft area and vertical velocity could also be varied depending on surface type
 - Khaled Ghanam has demonstrated the impact of such an approach
- Could communicate the information *back* to the land model
 - Information on precipitation/cloud cover?
 - Information on downdrafts/winds?



More development needed, but there's promise for moving beyond 'homogeneous' boundary conditions



Thank you!

mdfowler@ucar.edu