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Objective and Method

Objective:
• 1) Establish how well the CCSM4 simulates the late 20th century Arctic Ocean properties
• 2) Investigate how the key parameters of the Arctic Ocean change in the 21st century

Method:
• Use the six available CCSM4 ensemble simulation for 1981-2005 and the 21st century, and available observations
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Overall good agreement.

Main biases:
1. Too much FW input
2. FW export east of Greenland split between Fram Strait and Barents Sea
Volume and AW heat fluxes: Fram Strait
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Too deep and warm AW temperature maximum
Not enough cooling below 500m
Surface waters too salty
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Why is the deep Arctic Ocean so warm?
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Observations (PHC2) vs CCSM4
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Temperature at Atlantic water core depth

- AW core temperature too warm (by ~0.5°C)
- AW circulation shows many of the observed features
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21st Century
Warming of AW layer is due to increased temperature of inflowing AW
Surface freshening is due to increased FW input by rivers and more sea-ice melt within the Arctic Ocean
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Increasing freshwater export increases over the 21st century, with a shift from solid to liquid freshwater export
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Impact on deep convection?
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20th century:

- The Beaufort Gyre is too weak due to a large SLP bias in all seasons.
- Arctic FW budget is in overall good agreement with observations.
- Net heat flux into Arctic Ocean about right, but too much enters through the BSO.
- The upper Atlantic water depth (defined as the 0°C isotherm) is well simulated compared to the PHC2 temperature data, except in the Beaufort Gyre region where it is too shallow by 25-100 m compared to PHC2.
- The Atlantic water core depth is too deep compared to PHC2 (by about 500m) and AW fills the entire deep Arctic Ocean.
- Temperatures at the Atlantic water core depth are overall too warm, but the circulation pattern agrees with observations.
**Summary: 21\textsuperscript{st} century**

\textbf{21\textsuperscript{st} century:}
- The AW layer warms due to increased temperatures of the inflowing water
- The surface freshens due to more runoff and more sea-ice melt in the Arctic Ocean
- The FW export from the Arctic shifts to predominantly liquid FW, which contributes to a decrease of the deep convection in the Labrador Sea at the end of the 21\textsuperscript{st} century
Questions?

Contact: ajahn@ucar.edu
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Fig. 15: Index of meridional overturning circulation (MOC) in the Atlantic taken as the largest value of meridional overturning streamfunction below 500 m depth in Sverdrups ($10^6$ m$^3$s$^{-1}$). Solid lines are ensemble averages for 20$^{th}$ and 21$^{st}$ centuries with shading indicating the range of the ensemble. After 2100, solid lines indicate single members.