Wednesday AM discussion
How to direct CAM development

Development should be focused towards improving CAM’s credibility for climate projections & predictions. Questions:

*Why is climate sensitivity so high?*

*Why is aerosol sensitivity so high?*

*Do we trust this behavior?*

**CESM**: Fundamental, basic science questions, process understanding, model use diversity.
“CAM7” activity (NSF funding)

Intensive evaluation of cloud aerosol interaction (CAI), surface exchanges using high-resolution modeling (LES-CRM)

Model accessibility: streamlined build/run of CAM, esp. of simpler configurations, SCAM

New applications: prediction, regional refinement
CAM applications

Simple models for targeted research questions or development
- Examples: SCAM, “simpler models”

“Cheap” but fully-coupled configurations
- Applications: Paleo, physics development
- Potential examples: 2° configuration, CAM4

Cutting edge model
- Definition depends on application
- Runs performed by NCAR and other HPC capable groups
Simple models for targeted questions or development
Examples: SCAM, “simpler models”

“Cheap” but fully-coupled configurations
Applications: Paleo, physics development
Potential examples: 2o configuration, CAM4

Cutting edge model
- Definition depends on application
- Runs performed by NCAR and other HPC capable groups

*Distinguish “scientific” unification from infrastructure unification

Is Unification* impractical?
How to direct CAM development

Development should be focused towards improving CAM’s credibility for climate projections & predictions.

Other issues and opportunities:

- *Tropical precipitation*
- *Mesoscale organization*
- *Southern Ocean processes*
- *Stratosphere/troposphere connections*
- *Sub-seasonal to decadal predictions*
- *Simplified chemistry?*
- *Impact studies*
- *Exploration of processes across scales (down to the kilometer scale) via easy-to-configure regionally-refined grids and a non-hydrostatic dynamical core option*