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50% of global forests have a management plan

- Forest area that has a long-term documented management plan, aiming at defined management goals, which is periodically revised.
- Must include adequate detail on operations planned for individual operational units (stands or compartments) but may also provide general strategies and activities planned to reach management goals.

FAO 2015
Management: preservation vs. production
(~20% of global forest area)

Area of planted forest

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>1990</th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boreal</td>
<td>~0.6%</td>
<td>~1.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperate</td>
<td>~4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtropical</td>
<td>~1.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tropical</td>
<td>~1.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Area of protected forest

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>1990</th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boreal</td>
<td>~1.3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperate</td>
<td>~1.9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtropical</td>
<td>~0.2%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tropical</td>
<td>~9.4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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CMIP6 Land-use drivers: Forest management

Central Georgia (Lat: 30.2 N, Lon: -83.9 W)

Proportion of wood harvest

Year

From Hurtt, Chini et al.
Influence of management on productivity

Volume at harvest (m$^3$ ha$^{-1}$)

Establishment Decade

- Natural Stand
- Planting
- Site Prep
- Competition Control
- Fertilization
- Tree Improve
What is forest management at the Earth system scale?

- **Species selection**
  - 20% of tree volume in the US is from two species (Douglas-fir and loblolly pine)

- **Tree Improvement**
  - Optimizing the genetics to grow fast and be adapted to climate through modifications either to physiological or allocation parameters

- **Fire management**

- **Nutrient addition**

- **Site preparation at planting**
  - Influence on soil carbon?

- **Thinning**
  - Reductions in LAI may influence drought sensitivity
New features of CLM that we can leverage for the project (thanks team!)

- Dynamic land-units with conserved biogeochemistry
- Flexibility to add new PFTs
- Harvesting on either a mass or area basis
- Nitrogen fertilization to a column
Overarching questions

Will assigning the harvest from the land-use drivers to a highly managed proportion of the grid-cell influence the terrestrial carbon sink over the 21st century

1) Better able to respond to atmospheric CO₂ (young and fertilized)
2) Allows for protected forest to build up soil carbon because the forests do not have removals
3) Having lower average LAI on plantation grid cells may result in lower sensitivity to drought
4) Potentially able to have quicker acclimation to climate by moving seeds and seedling from warmer locations
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How will timber yield respond to climate change?
Step 1: Create a managed forest plant functional type

Where should we put intensely managed forest PFTs?
Managed Forest Tree PFT Goals

• Act like a tree in most ways
• Add additional management behaviors
  • Some are crop-like (CLM)
    • Fertilization
    • Irrigation
  • Cultivar selection (unique PFT parameterization)
  • Forest rotation and discrete harvest events (future application of FATES)
    • Thinning mid-rotation (future application of FATES)
• Add some column level management behaviors
  • Modifications to litter decomposition (soil disturbance)
  • Modifications to fire regime
• Prioritize harvesting off the management forest column
Candidate Approaches

- Add to Crop L.U.
- Add to Veg. L.U.
- Create New L.U.
Arguments

Crop Land Unit

• Pros:
  • Conceptually “human managed”
  • Separate Column

• Cons:
  • Crop model must be on
  • Don’t need most crop behavior
  • Must turn off for new PFTs in many places
  • Complicates PFT weights

Vegetation Land Unit

• Pros:
  • Treated like trees
  • PFT weights comparable
  • (Competition possible)
  • Land Unit aggregation of PFT variables

• Cons:
  • Shares column: thus competition
  • Must code in new PFTs (indexes &IDS) to L.U.
Selected Approach
Technical Change Summary

- **PFT Logic:**
  - Make crop logic consistently respect upper limit (npcropmax)
  - Add new PFT to vegetation conditionals
  - Modify some filters (pcropp)

- **Input Files:**
  - Modify PFT Parameter File (new PFTs added to end of list)
  - Modify Land Surface File:
    - Managed Forest PFT weights added to PCT_NAT_PFT
  - Modify code that loads data

- **Give new PFTs their own column in the natural vegetated land unit**
  - Modify code that assumes all vegetated PFTs will be in a single column
  - Add code to give the new PFTs their own column
Step 2: Parameterize the PFT
Loblolly pine flux tower (US-SB1)
Developing a regional parameterization for allometry using a simple model linked to CLM

**Data streams**
- Stem biomass
- Coarse root biomass
- Fine root root biomass
- Foliage biomass turnover
- Fine root biomass turnover
- Pine stem density
- Leaf area index
- Gross ecosystem production
- Evapotranspiration

**300 plots**
- Control
- Nutrient fertilized
- Water addition
- Throughfall exclusion
- Elevated CO₂

**Simple monthly productivity model (3PG)**

```
+---------------------------------+
| Stem density                    |
| Autotrophic respiration        |
| Gross primary productivity     |
| Light                           |
| Temperature                    |
| CO₂                             |
| Available soil water           |
| Frost days                      |
| Soil fertility                  |
| Vapor pressure deficit          |
| Foliage                         |
| Stem                            |
| Coarse roots                    |
| Fine roots                      |
| Precipitation                   |
| Available soil water           |
| Runoff                          |
| Evapotranspiration              |
| Light                           |
| Temperature                    |
| CO₂                             |
| Available soil water           |
| Frost days                      |
| Vapor pressure deficit          |
```

---
Developing a regional parameterization for allometry

**Key parameters**
- stem_leaf
- leaf_long
- froot_leaf
- am
- croot_stem
- slatop
- dsladlai
- froot_turnover
Step 3: Modify surface and land-use history inputs to include managed tree PFTs across the globe

Can we make forest management plans an optional input to the CLM?

• Forest preservation
• Production forestry
• Passive management (harvesting but little management)
Questions?