Characteristics of atmospheric transport using three numerical formulations for atmospheric dynamics in a single GCM framework
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Abstract

This study examines the sensitivity of a number of important archetypical tracer problems to the numerical method used to solve the equations of tracer transport and atmospheric dynamics. The tracers scenarios were constructed to exercise the model for a variety of problems relevant to understanding and modeling the physical, dynamical, and chemical aspects of the climate system. We explore the use of spectral, semi-Lagrangian, and Finite Volume numerical methods for the equations. All subgridscale physical parameterizations were the same in all model simulations.

We explore the model behavior for a few short simulations with passive tracers, and much longer simulation of Radon, SF6, Ozone, a tracer designed to mimic some aspects of a biospheric source/sink of CO$_2$, and a suite of tracers designed around the conservation laws for thermodynamics and mass in the model.

Large differences were seen near the tropopause in the model, where the FV core shows a much reduced level of mixing in the vertical and meridionally. There was also evidence that the subtropical subsidence regions are more isolated from tropics and midlatitudes in the FV core than seen in the other model simulations. There are also big differences in the stratosphere particularly for age of air in the stratosphere and ozone. A comparison with estimated age of air from CO$_2$ and SF6 measurements in the stratosphere suggest that the FV core is behaving most realistically.

We used a “Neutral Biosphere” (NB) test case to explore issue of diurnal and seasonal rectification of a tracer with sources and sinks at the surface. The sources and sinks have a zero annual average, and the rectification is associated with temporal correlations between the sources and sinks, and transport. The test suggests that the rectification is strongly influenced by the resolved scale dynamics (ie the dynamical core) and that the numerical formulation for dynamics and transport still plays a critical role in the distribution of NB-like species. Since the distribution of species driven by these processes have a strong influence on the interpretation of the “missing sink” for CO$_2$, and our interpretation of climate change associated with anthropogenic forcing, these issues should not be neglected.
The spectral core showed the largest departures from the predicted nonlinear relationship required by the equations for thermodynamics and mass conservations. The FV and SLD models both produced errors a factor of two lower. The SLD model shows a small but systematic bias in its ability to maintain this relationship that was not present in the FV simulation.

The results of the study indicate that for virtually all of these problems that the model numerics still have a large role in influencing the model solutions. It was frequently the case that the differences in solutions resulting from varying the numerics still exceed the difference in the simulation resulting from a significant physical perturbation (like changes in greenhouse gas forcing). This does not mean that the response of the system to physical changes is not correct. When results are consistent using different numerical formulations for dynamics and transport it lends confidence to one conclusions, but it does indicate that some caution is required in interpreting the results.

The results from this study favor use of the FV core for tracer transport and model dynamics. The FV core is conservative (neither of the others are), less diffusive (maintains strong gradients better), and maintains the nonlinear relationships among variables required by thermodynamic and mass conservation constraints more accurately.
1 Introduction:

As general circulation models (GCMs) have evolved, they have been used to examine increasingly ambitious and complex problems, and the quality of their simulations have been held to an increasingly high standard. Many problems being addressed today require careful formulations of transport of constituents as one component of the model framework that comprises a GCM.

The movement of a field from one location to another appears in equations governing most physical processes represented in a GCM. Sometimes it is a dominant term in the physics. Frequently it appears as one term among a few that are important in controlling a physical process. It is also frequently the case that it is possible to identify errors (occasionally large) in the numerical solution that arise from the formulation for transport, either by processes explicitly resolved by the model, or those unresolved processes that must be parameterized in a model. It is thus important to try to reduce those numerical errors so that they are small compared to the terms associated with the physics of the problem.

It is difficult to design a numerical algorithm that is accurate, computationally efficient and sufficiently general that it will handle the broad scope of problems required of it in a GCM. In our experience, it is possible to identify numerical artifacts that arise from mixing different numerical methods for treating advective processes. Therefore, it is desirable to use the same algorithm for atmospheric transport in all model variables represented in a model, yet those variables have different physical characteristics and vary over disparate time and space scales.

Of course the issues of transport are intimately tied up with the formulation for the dynamical equations of motion as well. Advection appears as one of the important terms in those equations, and transport is obviously dependent on the wind fields that arise from the solutions with the equations of motion.

A variety of techniques have been developed in the climate modeling community for solutions to atmospheric transport, and some of these techniques have been used in the NCAR CCSM community. Originally, in CCM0, and CCM1, and CCM2, a combination of spectral and finite difference (FD) methods were used in the transport of water vapor, and the “dynamical variables”, temperature and, momentum (Williamson, 1983). Later, for CCM2 a semi-Lagrangian transport formulation was added for water vapor and other trace species, but the dynamical variable transport was spectral (Williamson and Rasch, 1994; Rasch and Williamson, 1990). This produced an inconsistent formulation, but it was still an improvement.
 compared to the pure spectral/FD formulation. Subsequently Williamson and Olson (1994) developed a complete semi-Lagrangian dynamics formulation in which the transport term for both dynamical variables and trace constituents were handled consistently and uniformly. Recently a new dynamical framework was developed by Lin (2004) using a control volume formulation. It also treats the dynamical variables, and trace constituents uniformly and more consistently than the spectral cores discussed here.

Transport problems in GCMs can be attributed to errors in three model aspects:

- Errors in the numerical methods used to solve the differential equation describing tracer transport.
- Transport errors arising from biases in the wind and mass fields themselves that are a result of problems with general circulation of the model.
- Transport errors resulting from errors in subgridscale parameterizations.

In this paper we focus on the first two components of this list, namely errors introduced from numerical discretizations of the tracer transport equation, and errors arising from the solutions to equations describing the general circulation. Errors from the third model component (the parameterizations) are still present, but they are constant across the range of models cases explored here. We examine the response of CAM’s tracer transport in a number of archetypical trace constituent transport problems to variations in the formulations for tracer transport and atmospheric dynamics processes, and to model resolutions. Each of the test problems were chosen to illustrate the behavior of the model for a different class of trace constituents, representative of a broader class of constituents. The use of test tracer problems for understanding both model biases and atmospheric processes has a long history in the chemistry community and climate community. It is impossible to cite all of the important work in this area, but many important studies are outlined and cited in Prather and Remsberg (1992); Boville et al. (1997); Pyle and Prather (1996); Jacob et al. (1997); Rasch et al. (2000), for chemistry, and in Rind and Lerner (1996); Rind et al. (1999) who suggested the use of tracers as a diagnostic tool in GCM development, and in other papers cited here. While many of these problems have been used before in the literature, we are not aware of their examination in a context in which all processes except the tracer transport and dynamical formulation are identical, and all model configurations have been constructed to produce approximately the same climate in the context of seasonal and annually averaged temperature, radiation, wind fields. We have also examined
the sensitivity of the tracer solutions to changes in model climate induced by anthropogenic forcing (e.g. Butchart and Sciafe (2001), Rind et al. (2001), Collins et al. (2003), and Zeng and Pyle (2003)).

Lastly, we acknowledge that we are necessarily brief in our discussion of each tracer, and have restricted our discussion to zonal and annual averages. It would have been possible to explore and discuss the simulations with decompositions including seasonal, and latitude/longitude information, but that would have necessarily produced a much different and longer paper.

2 Brief Description of CAM3

Since a description of components of CAM3 can be found in other papers appearing in this special issue, we point the reader to the relevant papers describing the components, and highlight issues that influence the model simulation of tracers variability.

A general overview of the CAM3 is provided in Collins et al. (2004b). CAM can be used as a stand-alone atmospheric general circulation model, or as a component of a climate system model that includes formulations for the physical, chemical, and biological evolution of ocean, sea ice and land components of the earth system Collins et al. (2004a). CAM can be run at varying resolutions, and can use varying numerical formulations for atmospheric dynamics and transport. Three formulations are supported for the representation of atmospheric dynamics and transport, generally referred to as a dynamical core. The standard dynamical core uses the classic spectral method for representing the evolution of the equations of motion. It combines a transform method employing spherical harmonics for horizontal discretizations, with nominally 2nd order finite differences for the vertical discretization for the equations of motion. Because the spectral method is not suitable for constituent transport (Rasch and Williamson, 1990, 1991) a semi-lagrangian method is employed for representing the evolution of trace constituent mixing ratios (Williamson and Rasch, 1994). The different numerical methods exacerbate inconsistencies between the model wind fields, the transport of air masses and transport of tracers tracers, and fixers that are required to produce a formulation that conserves tracer mass (Williamson and Olson, 1994; Rasch et al., 1995). CAM3 also includes a “semi-Lagrangian dynamics” (SLD) core (Williamson and Olson, 1994; Collins et al., 2004c), where more terms in the equations of motion, and the constituent equations are treated consistently using the semi-Lagrangian framework. This improves the consistency of the transport for-
mulation, and results in a model framework that may be more advantageous for constituent transport. However, the model still requires the previously cited fixers. The third option for a dynamical core is a finite volume discretization following Lin (2004). This formulation expresses all evolution equations in flux form, resulting in a fully conservative formulation, with improved consistency in the framework for the dynamics and constituent transport.

All versions of the model use identical formulation for the physical parameterizations, and have been tuned to produce simulations that are energetically balanced and are quite similar in their seasonal and annual features of the general circulation. Some of the model simulation used a Slab Ocean Model (SOM) formulation, that includes a simple representation for the ocean mixed layer and a thermodynamic sea ice component based on the CCSM3 sea ice model, with the implied ocean heat transport calculated from a stand alone control version of CAM. The SOM provides a mechanism for exploring the response of the atmosphere to changes in radiative forcing in a simpler context than the fully coupled model (Kiehl et al., 2004). To identify differences in transport we have also run the CAM-SOM with pre-industrial, and future climate changes scenarios, as described in Otto-Bliesner et al. (2004) and Kiehl et al. (2004). When run with present day radiative forcing the SOM and stand alone model simulations are virtually indistinguishable, both in terms of the general circulation, and in terms of the tracer distributions described here. The models do also differ somewhat in their representation of transient features (Rasch et al., 2005) of the hydrological cycle. We have explored the simulation of these atmospheric trace constituents for 6 different model configurations described in table 1.

All model versions were started at near equilibrium conditions and (with the exception of the simulations described in section 3.1) were run for 10 years. The versions of the model identified as having a 128x64 resolution has horizontal cell dimensions of approximately 2.8 degrees on a side. The FV model employs a 2x2.5 degree regular latitude/longitude grid with cell centers located at poles and equator. There are approximately 60% more points on the globe than the 128x64 grid model configurations.

3 Simulations

We have explored the model behavior for a variety of trace constituents. These tracers characterize different aspects of transport. The suite provides a much broader overview of transport in each model than any
Table 1: The cases examined in this study, ordered from lowest to highest resolution. Note that although the semi-lagrangian and spectral T42 models contain the same number of gridpoints the former model does not use the inherent smoothing required for a spectral transform model. FD stands for Finite Difference.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Resolution</th>
<th>dynamics</th>
<th>SST</th>
<th>timestep (s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T42amip</td>
<td>128x64</td>
<td>Spectral/FD</td>
<td>prescribed 1950-1954</td>
<td>1200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T63SLDamip</td>
<td>128x64</td>
<td>Semi-lagrangian/FD</td>
<td>prescribed 1950-1954</td>
<td>3600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVamip</td>
<td>144x91</td>
<td>Control volume</td>
<td>prescribed SST</td>
<td>1800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T42som-present</td>
<td>128x64</td>
<td>Spectral/FD</td>
<td>predicted SST</td>
<td>1200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T42som-past</td>
<td>128x64</td>
<td>Spectral/FD</td>
<td>predicted SST</td>
<td>1200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T42som-future</td>
<td>128x64</td>
<td>Spectral/FD</td>
<td>predicted SST</td>
<td>1200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

individual constituent simulation, and frequently the behavior of one of the tracers help in interpreting the behavior of another.

3.1 Passive tracers with high gradients

We begin by exploring the differences in transport properties in the model using two passive tracers (hereafter LOW, and HIGH), initialized to unity in a single model layer (near 800 and 200 hPa respectively), and zero elsewhere (see figure 1). Each model used meteorological initial conditions for September 1 from an arbitrary year of a previous simulation, and was run for 30 days. The LOW tracer provides an indication of transport in a region of the atmosphere strongly influenced by subgridscale transport processes (convection and turbulent boundary layer processes). The HIGH tracer, particularly in middle and high latitudes is much less strongly influenced by subgridscale processes with a larger role being played by resolved scale dynamics.

Snapshots at day 15 and day 30 are shown for the LOW tracer initialized near the surface in figure 2. Each model configuration shows similar features; a relatively rapid mixing between the surface and tropopause in the tropics, low values of the tracer in the subtropical subsidence regions, and a broader structure of mixing in midlatitudes and polar regions. In the subtropics isolines of tracer tend to align with isentropes, a result of the relatively slow cross isentropic transport, and more rapid along isentrope
Figure 1: Initial Conditions for (a) High, (b) Middle, and (c) Low Tracer.
Figure 2: Zonal averaged mixing ratio for Day 15 and 30 for Low tracer
transport occurring in this region. A closer examination reveals quantitative differences between the various model simulations. At day 15, one sees air with lower concentration of tracer LOW in the subtropics in the FV simulation, characteristic of air originally of mid- and upper tropospheric origin, and the gradient between tropics and subtropics is steeper in that simulation. At day 30 these features are also evident. The gradient between low and high mixing ratio air in the subtropics is about a factor of two higher in the FV core than either of the other two configurations. At this time of year, mixing is more rapid in the southern hemisphere extra tropics than in the northern in all model configurations.

The features seen in the LOW tracer subtropics are also seen in the HIGH tracer concentration in the subsidence regions of the subtropics. The high concentration region is more coherent in the FV model than the other two dynamical core configurations. This is most evident on day 15 but also in evidence in at day 30.

The most striking feature of the HIGH tracer (figure 3) is the substantial difference in mixing in the upper tropospheric polar region between the FV core and the spectral and semi-lagrangian cores. The FV core has preserved the initial gradient much more strongly than the other cores. Note for example the 0.01 contour at day 30 (the isoline delimiting the white and grey regions of the figure). This isoline is located between 300 and 400 hPa in the FV model and between 600 and 800 hPa in the other two models. The extent of the region with mixing ratios exceeding 0.5 extends to within 35 degrees of the equator in the FV model and is restricted to much smaller regions poleward of about 60 degrees in the other two models. There are also significant differences in the lower tropospheric polar regions. While the contours of figure 3 are linear in the light and middle grey shaded regions of the figure, they are approximately logarithmic in the white and dark grey shaded regions of the figure. In light of this, one can see that the concentration near the surface is a factor of 2-4 lower in FV core than either of the other two cores, highlighting the reduced vertical mixing in the FV core.

Simulations using tracer MID produced results consistent with the LOW and HIGH tracers. For this reason we have omitted a discussion of those results.
Figure 3: Zonal averaged mixing ratio for Day 15 and 30 for High tracer
3.2 Radon

Radon has many virtues as a tracer of air recently at the surface (e.g. Liu et al. (1984)). It has a short radioactive lifetime (half-life of 3.8 days), is thought to have a relatively uniform source, has low reactivity with other atmospheric constituents and is insoluble. Its main disadvantage is that it occurs at very low concentrations in air (mixing ratios of order 1 part in $10^{20}$) and so direct measurements are difficult to make rapidly. Nevertheless it has frequently been used as a metric for evaluating atmospheric models (Feichter and Crutzen (1990); Jacob and Prather (1990); Genthon and Armengaud (1995); Jacob et al. (1997); Rasch et al. (2000)).

We have employed a relatively standard scenario for our Radon simulations (see for example, Jacob et al. (1997)) by employing uniform emissions of 1 atom cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ over all land masses equatorward of 60°. The model runs were initialized with a concentration of 0, run for 10 years, and averaged for the last 5 years to produce the diagnostics used here.

Figure 4 shows some zonally averaged results. Because of the very strong stratification of Radon with altitude (see bottom center panel showing the spectral T42 simulation), and the relatively small differences in the simulation, we have chosen to compare the simulations in terms of the ratio of zonal averages for each case with respect to the spectral T42 simulation. The upper left panel shows the ratio of the SLD simulation to the control. 20-30% more radon is seen in the upper troposphere in the SLD simulation. As will be seen in other tracers as well, this signature is consistent with a reduced cross tropopause exchange of the SLD compared to the spectral core. Less transport of radon into the stratosphere results in higher concentrations in the troposphere. The ratio of radon in these two simulations drops to 0.4 as one approaches the model top, suggesting that transport processes operate more slowly in the SLD core than the spectral core in the stratosphere. There is little difference in the middle and lower troposphere between these two cases, where mixing is quite rapid, and strongly controlled by the subgrid-scale parameterizations.

The distinct differences seen in the polar regions at the tropopause in the SLD simulations can be explained by the temperature difference between the two shown in figure 5. The SLD simulation is warmer, closer to the observed temperature distribution, and substantially reduces a known bias in the spectral T42 simulations (Williamson and Olson, 1998). The warmer temperatures stabilize the vertical profile in that region and thus act to suppress any intrusions of tropospheric air carrying Radon in the region.
Figure 4: Zonal averaged mixing ratio of Radon for the spectral T42 run (bottom) and ratio of various cases to that run. Changes in shading indicate that the contour interval has changed.
The upper right panel of figure 4 shows the ratio of the zonal averages of Radon between the FV and T42 simulations. Concentrations are again enhanced below the tropopause in the FV model compared to the SLD simulations, and reduced above it. The enhanced region of the upper troposphere is somewhat smaller than that seen in the SLD core simulation. The depleted region in the stratosphere reaches even lower ratios (< 0.2) than the SLD simulation. There is also significantly more Radon in polar regions throughout the depth of the troposphere in the FV model, implying a more rapid exchange of tropospheric air between midlatitudes and polar regions.

The two central panels show ratios of zonal, annually averaged radon when transported in a model framework simulating the atmospheric response to changes in radiative (aerosol and greenhouse gas) forcing between a pre-industrial, present-day, and future world. Our model shows an increase in exchange of air between the troposphere and stratosphere. Radon is higher (by a factor of two) in the stratosphere in the future simulations compared to present day, and lower by about the same amount in the preindustrial simulations. This signal is consistent with the simulations of Butchart and Sciafe (2001), Rind et al. (2001), Collins et al. (2003), and Zeng and Pyle (2003), who demonstrated a general increase in STE (both upward in the tropics, and downward in midlatitudes) as a result of a general increase in the residual mean circulation in a world experiencing forcing from doubled CO₂. But it is clear that the numerical solution technique can easily influence the simulation at a level that can strongly modulate the physical signal of interest.

Figure 5: Zonal average of the temperature difference between the SLD simulation and the spectral T42 simulation.
3.3 Age of Air and SF$_6$

It is instructive to next contrast a relatively short lived species with a continental surface source like radon with a constituent that has a very long lifetime, and a surface source. One such tracer is Sulfur Hexafluoride (SF$_6$). SF$_6$ has a lifetime of $> 1000$ years, is believed to be slowly emitted from electrical switching equipment (with a dominant source in the northern hemisphere), and is estimated to have had a nearly linear increase in emission rate. It has proven to provide a useful measure of mixing between continental and maritime regions (Denning et al. (1999), of hemispheric exchange, and of exchange processes between the troposphere and stratosphere, and within the stratosphere itself (Hall and Plumb, 1994; Hall et al., 2000; Eluszkiewicz et al., 2000; Waugh and Hall, 2002).

Emissions of SF$_6$ were prescribed using the inventories of Babiker et al. (2001). The model runs were initialized with a mixing ratio of 0 pptv everywhere, and integrated for 10 years. The figures in this section are the result of analyzing the last 5 years of these simulations.

Figure 6 shows the zonally averaged annual average of SF$_6$, and case ratios following the conventions described for figure 4. The lower panel uses units of mass mixing ratio. Although it cannot be inferred from the regular contours interval chosen for the figure, the pole to pole gradient in volume mixing ratio (mole fraction) units is about 0.35 pptv, in reasonable agreement with the composite analysis of the observations produced in the TRANSCOM II study of Denning et al. (1999), and lying in the group of models with stronger latitudinal gradients documented in that study. At northern midlatitudes, near the major emission regions for SF$_6$, the vertical gradients between the surface and 200 hPa is about 15%, again lying in the group of models having a relatively strong vertical gradient compared to the ensemble mean. The dominant inter hemispheric transport occurs in the middle and upper troposphere as indicated by the protrusion of higher mixing ratio air there, and a weak reversed gradient of SF$_6$ with higher mixing ratios aloft near the equator.

There is little quantitative difference in distributions in the troposphere by the different dynamical cores (upper two panels of figure 6) with differences of less than 2% between them. The FV model tends to export SF$_6$ slightly more rapidly from the northern hemisphere source region than the spectral model, the SLD model exports slightly less. SF$_6$ tends to accumulate to higher mixing ratios in the upper troposphere, and both the FV and SLD cores transport less SF$_6$ into the stratosphere than the spectral model.
middle panels of figure 6 show the same signature in STE as the Radon analysis. As anthropogenic forcing increases, transport into the stratosphere increases. The inter hemispheric gradient is enhanced with increasing forcing, again consistent with the results of Rind et al. (2001) who found a similar signal in the GISS model.

A useful diagnostic of transport from the troposphere to the stratosphere is some measure of the elapsed time that it takes for fluid parcels to reach a given location in the stratosphere from the tropical tropopause. This quantity is really an integral of the age of air of many parcels traveling by different trajectories to a given location, and represents a type of Green’s function that propagates the information at the tropical tropopause into the stratosphere. A recent review of this topic, with citations for a large body of the original literature can be found in Waugh and Hall (2002). The age of air can be calculated a number of ways. One easy and useful method is to calculate the “lag time” (Waugh and Hall, 2002), defined to be the time required for a tracer at a given location to reach the same mixing ratio as that seen at the tropopause, in the presence of a monotonic increase in the mixing ratio there. The lag time is believed to correspond to the “mean age” (the first moment of the age spectrum defining the mixing ratio) to within 10% for the stratosphere (Waugh and Hall, 2002).

Figure 6 shows the lag time $\tau_{SF_6}$ calculated from the zonal average of SF$_6$ for the three models. We have calculated $\tau_{SF_6}$ for each of the last 6 years of the 10 year simulation and averaged them. We show only the model regions above 100 hPa. It is important to note that all these model configurations are run with 26 vertical layers, having a model top layer located at between 2 and 4 hPa (35-40 km), and 9 layers at or above 100 hPa. While age of air is frequently used as a diagnostic of model behavior in the middle atmosphere (Hall et al., 2000; Eluszkiewicz et al., 2000), the current model configurations are not designed to provide a high quality simulation of the stratosphere, and it is well known that the relatively low vertical resolution, and the low altitude of the upper boundary condition will result in a relatively poor representation of stratospheric dynamics (Boville and Cheng, 1988; Rasch, 1986). Nevertheless, it is of some interest to understand the interactions between the stratosphere and troposphere even in this situation.

Although there are common features across models (longest ages in the polar regions, shortest in the tropics), the three model configurations behave quite differently from each other. Vertical transport in the
Figure 6: Zonal averaged mixing ratio of SF$_6$ for the spectral T42 run. Lower panel has units of mass mixing ratio. All other panels show ratio of various cases to that run using dimensionless units.
Figure 7: Zonally averaged age of air.
tropical stratosphere is very rapid in the spectral model, with \( \tau \) lying below 1 year to pressures of 20 hPa. The semi-Lagrangian core has a very long lag time, with a value of 4 years in the same region. The FV core lies in between the other two models with lag times of 2.5-3 years. There are interesting differences at the model top as well. The finite volume shows evidence for a “rigid” model top, in which trace constituents (and air mass) are not allowed to exit the model domain. The other two model configuration show evidence of an “outflow” boundary condition. That is, when parcel trajectories are upward, the tracers are allowed to leave the model domain; when they are downward, the parcels are assumed to enter the model domain with the mixing ratio of the top model layer (Williamson and Rasch, 1994).

The polar simulations are also very different, with ages near the model top between 5 and 15 years for the spectral and SLD cores respectively, and the FV model lying in between. The simulations are also very different near 100 hPa. The spectral simulation shows rapid air exchange between the troposphere and stratosphere, with age of air less than 2 years at that pressure level, while the age of air in the other model configurations are 2-4 times that.

Figure 8 compares these age estimates interpolated to 20 km, and compared to the composite estimates produced by Waugh and Hall (2002) that were assembled from CO\(_2\) observations of Boering et al. (1996), and Andrews et al. (2001), and SF\(_6\) estimates of Elkins and et al (1996), Ray and et al (1999), and Harnisch et al. (1996). The age of air of the spectral model is clearly too young from pole to pole. Both SLD and FV models are much closer to the observed age between 60N and 60S. The observational estimate of about 5 years in Northern hemisphere polar regions compare more closely to the FV core estimates.

### 3.4 Neutral Biosphere Tracer

The changing concentrations of CO\(_2\) arising from anthropogenic emissions (with its recognized importance as a greenhouse gas) has produced increasing attention on distributions of CO\(_2\), and its sources and sinks over the last several decades. In order to characterize future CO\(_2\) levels quantitatively, it is important to understand its sources and sinks. The observed distribution of CO\(_2\) near the surface, along with chemical transport models, has been used as one mechanism for connecting a sink of anthropogenic CO\(_2\) to the terrestrial biosphere (Pearman and Hyson, 1980; Denning et al., 1996a,b; Randerson et al., 1997; Gurney et al., 2002). While CO\(_2\) is a relatively well mixed gas in the troposphere with variations of only
Figure 8: Zonally averaged age of air at 20km from SF$_6$. Observational estimates from CO$_2$ and SF$_6$ measurements.
a few percent spatially and temporally, the variations in its distribution at this level are quite sensitive to chemical and physical sources and sinks. In order to trust inferences about sinks of CO$_2$, we must be able to trust our ability to model the processes that control its distribution.

The observed meridional gradient in CO$_2$ is controlled by both: 1) the locations of anthropogenic emissions (dominant in the northern hemisphere), 2) the basic transport processes responsible for moving tracers from one region to the other, and 3) correlations between transport processes, and uptake and emission from the biosphere associated with the diurnal and seasonal changes in respiration and photosynthesis. The later phenomena, frequently termed “CO$_2$ rectification” arises because respiration (uptake of CO$_2$) tends to occur when the atmospheric boundary layer is stable (at night and in winter), and photosynthesis (release of CO$_2$) occurs during the day, and in summer, when the boundary layer is frequently unstable. The result (e.g. Denning et al. (1996a) and Denning et al. (1996b), and as seen below) is that a constituent that has a strong diurnal and seasonal cycle but but a zero net flux in the annual mean sense, will produce a significant annual mean meridional gradient. There is both a “seasonal rectification” and a “diurnal rectification” effect.

Denning et al. (1995) showed that the meridional gradient of a CO$_2$-like constituent using purely seasonal fluxes and transport was approximately half as strong as that created by fossil fuel combustion sources, which led to a calculated net sink in the terrestrial biosphere that was stronger than would have been inferred otherwise. Denning et al. (1996b) showed that the effect of the diurnal variation of the biosphere had a very strong effect on annual mean CO$_2$ concentrations in the tropics, but was less important in modulating the the middle latitudes. Gurney et al. (2002) showed that neglecting the rectifier effect moved the inferred terrestrial sink of CO$_2$ south and west of the location retrieved from an inversion using sources with no seasonal cycle. They also concluded that the rectification process is the major source of uncertainty in amplitude and location the northern hemisphere sink needed to explain the measured atmospheric CO$_2$ distribution.

In this study we have examined the sensitivity of the distribution of a CO$_2$-like tracer with a biosphere-like source sink to: 1) the numerics of transport and dynamics; 2) the presence or absence of a diurnal variation in emissions; and 3) the relative phase of the diurnal variations of emissions and transport. Our motivation for the later experiment is that there are well known deficiencies in the phase and amplitude of
many modelled processes that affect both the sources and sinks of CO$_2$ and in the venting processes that allow exchange of air between the biosphere and the free troposphere. For example, Rasch et al. (2005) show that there are many regions where the diurnal phase of precipitation, (which can be used as a proxy to indicate times of vigorous vertical transport of trace constituents) differs substantially between models and observations.

We use sources that have a zero net annual mean flux, and that follow the patterns appropriate for biospheric photosynthesis and respiration (thus the name “Neutral Biosphere” or NB tracer). Our base source/sink inventories come from the Carnegie-Ames-Stanford Approach (CASA) model (Potter et al., 1993) following the work of Randerson et al. (1997) and Olsen and Randerson (2004). This inventory has an estimated diurnal variation with data available every 3 hours. We have also averaged the data to produce an equivalent inventory with exactly the same monthly mean average, and, as an exercise in understanding the importance in the relative phase of the diurnal cycle of emissions and the diurnal cycle of transport, have produced a “shifted” inventory where the source/sink occurs six hours later than the standard inventory. All figures show NB anomalies, defined as the NB mixing ratio after subtracting off the initial mixing ratio.

Figure 9 shows the annually averaged surface concentrations for 9 different model configurations. These configurations explore sensitivity to source type (separate panels in the figure), and dynamical core (common dynamical cores are flagged by line type). We first note that these solution span the range of the 17 different transport models explored in the TransCom 3 model intercomparison of Gurney et al. (2003), even though that study used only monthly mean emissions. It is thus possible to get a very wide range of model behavior even in the context of a model with a common set of physical parameterizations. This suggests that some caution is needed in interpreting the TransCom 3 model intercomparison. That is, it is certainly possible that the range of model results examined in that study did not span the range of uncertainty present in the atmosphere, or present in models when a diurnal variation is present. The only model configurations that are relatively insensitive to dynamical core used a monthly mean source. Models including a diurnal variation span a much wider range of inter hemispheric gradients, and since this effect is important in controlling the gradient of CO$_2$ it should be included in models attempting accurately represent these features. The top two panels are consistent qualitatively with the results reported
Figure 9: Zonally averaged concentrations of the Neutral Biosphere (NB) tracer anomaly at the surface for the 9 cases discussed in the text. The anomaly is defined with respect to the initial concentration of the NB tracer.
in Denning et al. (1996b). That paper showed that the seasonal cycle of the surface concentration of CO$_2$
(which can be interpreted as an offset from the background southern hemisphere value) was smaller at
midlatitudes, and larger in the tropics in models including a diurnal variation in CO$_2$ uptake and emission
compared to a model with only a monthly mean source, although quantitatively the difference in the
tropical signature seems to be much larger than Denning saw.

The shifted diurnal source on the other hand enhances the inter hemispheric gradients, and reverses
the sign of the deviation from the background value in the tropics, indicating that the relative phase of
emission and transport is a critical parameter in the interpretation of the CO$_2$ signal at the surface.

The distributions for the sources that include a diurnal variation are quite sensitive to the dynamical
core. When the standard diurnal source (upper panel) is used, the SLD core is an outlier, with a signifi-
cantly lower inter hemispheric gradient than the other two model configurations. When the shifted diurnal
source is used (lower panel) the SLD scheme has the highest inter hemispheric gradient.

Figure 9 shows the vertical distribution of the NB tracer anomaly for the three different source distri-
butions, and its response changes in the model core, and to anthropogenic forcing, and a manner similar
to figures 4 and 6. The lowermost panels show the effect of the sources. The simulations of annual mean
NB concentration is strongly modulated by atmospheric rectification. The depth of the anomaly associated
with enhanced NB concentrations is strongly modulated by the phase difference of the diurnal variation of
the source/sink. The anomaly is restricted to a narrow boundary layer with the “standard diurnal source”,
and extends of a very deep region with the “shifted diurnal source. The monthly mean source lies in
between the two other simulations. The signal is reversed in the tropical regions with the anomaly prop-
agating most deeply with the standard diurnal source. These panels also indicate the amplitude of the
rectifier signal decreases with altitude, but it is clearly perceivable up to tropopause levels, and that even
at these levels, the phasing of the rectification is important. The figures suggests that there will a region,
particularly in the upper northern hemisphere where the rectification results in a substantial depletion of
the NB tracer.

The uppermost panels of figure 9 indicate that the rectification is amplified at midlatitudes (by as much
as 20%) in the FV core, and reduced at midlatitudes (by 40-60%) in the SLD core. The tropical signatures
for rectification are less sensitive to the numerics of the dynamics and transport algorithm than middle and
Figure 10: Zonal averaged mixing ratio of Neutral Biosphere Tracers. The 3 lower panels show the spectral T42 simulations using the three different source inventories (standard diurnal, monthly mean, and shifted diurnal from left to right respectively). The other panels show ratios of zonal annual averages for different cases compared to the spectral model with the standard diurnal source. The middle panels show the response of the spectral core to changes in anthropogenic forcing, and Upper panels show the response of the model to changes in the dynamical core. $f$-NBsz
high latitudes with differences of <20% between model versions. It is interesting to know that the signal of rectification extends more strongly into the lower stratosphere in both the SLD and FV cores compared to the spectral core in spite of the reduced STE in both of these model configurations compared to the spectral core.

The middle panels of figure 9 remain consistent with the signals seen in Radon and SF6, with STE increasing as anthropogenic forcing increases.

3.5 Stratospheric Sources of trace constituents

It is a common experience in global models (both GCM and Chemical Transport Models [CTMs]) attempting a simulation of the photochemistry of the troposphere that midlatitude exchange of air between the stratosphere and troposphere is judged to be too vigorous, and that this results in fluxes of Ozone (O₃) that can exceed estimates based on observational evidence by as much as a factor of a four (Crutzen et al., 1999; Bey et al., 2006; McLinden et al., 2000). This overestimate is believed to be due to a variety of factors, including: 1) errors in the numerical discretizations; 2) the choice of computational vertical coordinate system that fluctuates substantially with respect to quasi-material surfaces in the atmosphere resulting in movement across model coordinate surfaces that are an artifact of the choice of model coordinate surfaces; 3) the use of meteorological fields arising from a data assimilation procedure which produces high frequency noise in the meteorological that act to mix ozone rapidly (Douglass et al., 2004).

The excessive downward ozone transport has important impacts on the simulation of many chemical species, and on the climate of GCMs that include interactive chemistry because ozone is both a very reactive gas and an important greenhouse gas. The biases introduced by the excessive transport are so large that special procedures have been designed to reduce the problem. One such method is the use of an synthetic source of stratospheric ozone, with a prescribed distribution and amplitude formulated to produce a precise amount of ozone in the global average. Since a fixed amount of ozone is produced as a source in the stratosphere, the model must produce a corresponding flux across the tropopause once the stratospheric burden equilibrates. Such a scheme was suggested by McLinden et al. (2000) who named their scheme SYNOZ and set the global integral to 475Tg/ozone per year. The result of using such a source is that the cross tropopause transport, and troposphere ozone mixing ratios correspond much more closely
Figure 11: Zonal averaged mixing ratio of Pseudo-Ozone for the spectral T42 run (bottom) and ratio of various cases to that run. Note that the contour intervals for the lower panels are distributed approximately logarithmically, with values of $1, 2, \text{ and } 5 \times 10^n$, where n is an integer.
to observations than when mixing ratios of ozone are prescribed in stratosphere for models with large STE.

Ozone thus serves as a sensitive indicator of transport properties across the tropopause, and an important metric for models that require an accurate formulation for species important in the upper troposphere. For these reasons we have included two ozone-like test problems in the suite examined in this paper, a SYNOZ simulation following McLinden et al. (2000), and a tracer we call POZONE (standing for Prescribed or Pseudo Ozone) in which we fix the ozone mixing ratio to a uniform value at pressures below 50 hPa. Both species are destroyed instantly at the surface. The tropospheric distribution of each specie is thus controlled by the flux from the stratosphere to the troposphere, and the sink at the surface.

The two ozone like species display the same problems characteristic of ozone using more realistic representations of ozone. Figure 11 show simulations for the POZONE tracer. The lower panels show zonal annual averaged mixing ratios for cases with the three dynamical cores. The spectral and SLD cores are quite similar to each other. Both distributions have substantial intrusions of stratospheric air descending in the subtropics. Low mixing ratios are seen in the tropical region indicative of air that has recently been depleted of ozone by recent contact with the surface layer. The figures themselves, and the ratio of zonal averages seen in the two upper panels show that the SLD core has mixing ratios a factor of 2-4 times smaller in the tropics than the spectral solution, and 2-3 times larger in the southern hemisphere polar troposphere. The difference in equator to pole gradient between the two runs could be due to a more vigorous vertical mixing between the upper troposphere and surface, or to less vigorous mixing between the tropics and subtropics, or some combination of the two. The FV simulation differs substantially from the other two solutions. There is much less ozone throughout the troposphere than either of the other two simulations. The pole to equator ratio of ozone in the model is about the same as the SLD solution, and a factor of 2 higher than the spectral solution. The signature of a much reduced cross tropopause flux seen in these figures is also reflected in the annually averaged ozone burden, and cross tropopause flux. The cross tropopause flux of POZONE is a factor of 3 higher in the spectral core than the FV core.

The figures showing changes in transport circulation associated with anthropogenic forcing (middle panels of figure 11) suggest that the largest changes occur near the tropopause in the southern hemisphere pole, with decreases in POZONE in that region by 20-30% as one moves from a preindustrial to present day to future climate regime, which can be explained by a corresponding increase in STE in the lowermost
polar stratosphere. This feature again is consistent with the conclusions of Rind et al. (2001) who found that enhanced STE in this region, and an enhanced leakiness of the tropical pipe in the stratosphere.

Figure 12 shows simulations for the SYNOZ tracer, for the spectral and FV models (an SLD simulation was not made) with a focus on the upper troposphere and stratosphere. There is less difference between these two models for SYNOZ that for the POZONE tracer. Although the global signatures of the previous species indicate that the STE in the FV core is lower overall than the other model cores, the lower panels indicate that the mixing ratios at the south pole tropopause region are actually higher (by about a factor of two) than the spectral model, although this feature is restricted to a relatively small geographic region.

The upper panels display ratios between POZONE and SYNOZ for a particular dynamical core. The figures suggest that the cross tropopause transport processes are acting very differently in the spectral and FV core. In the FV core, the ratio of the two tracers in almost uniform, with a value near 3 over the whole troposphere, and the lowermost stratosphere. The one region have a significant departure from this value is in the tropics, above the tropical tropopause between 60-80 hPa where the ratio reaches a value of 9, a factor 3 enhancement over any other region in the model atmosphere. This suggest that there is a path for preferred transport of POZONE rich air to this region which is not present for SYNOZ air. Recall that the POZONE source is located between 0-50 hPa and the SYNOZ source is located between 10-80 hPa. If the mixing ratios in the region from 60-80 hPa were entirely controlled by the proximity to the source, then the ratio of POZONE/SYNOZ would be the lower here than in any other region, rather than higher, as seen in figure 12. In order for the ratio to reach a maximum, POZONE rich air from elsewhere must be mixed rapidly into this region, and air seeing a SYNOZ source air must be exported rapidly from this region.

This picture is to be contrasted with that seen in the spectral dynamical core (upper left panel of figure 12). In this dynamical framework ratios vary between 3 and 30, over a very large spatial region of the upper troposphere. The explanation for this difference is that there must be very rapid exchange of air between stratosphere and troposphere from regions where the POZONE source is important (e.g. midlatitudes), and much less rapid exchange of air in regions where the SYNOZ source is important and that the exchange occurring within the stratosphere between the SYNOZ and POZONE region is taking place much more slowly than the exchange between POZONE and the troposphere. To summarize, this rather complex
Figure 12: Zonal averaged mixing ratio of Synthetic Ozone (SYNOZ) for the spectral T42 (lower left panel) and the FV runs (lower right panel), and the ratio of POZONE to SYNOZ. The upper left panel shows the ratio for the spectral model and the upper right panel shows the ratio for the FV model.

Interpretation is consistent with a picture of the model STE in which much more exchange is occurring in the spectral core between midlatitudes and the troposphere than is occurring in the FV core.

In addition to changes in the mean distribution of tracers, changing dynamical cores and advection schemes and/or changing climates may change the variability of the distributions. We have examined two types of model variability: within monthly variability and between monthly variability. We define within monthly variability to be the standard deviation of daily data about the monthly mean, and the between month variability to be the standard deviation of a given month of the year about the ensemble mean for that month.
Figure 13: Variability of the POZONE tracer about monthly means for the model. Upper panel shows the regular standard deviation. Lower panels show the standard deviation of POZONE normalized by the monthly mean value. Left panels show results for the spectral core, right panels show results for the FV core.
We have found the within monthly variability to be larger than the between monthly variability for all the tracers considered here (not shown). For most of the tracers studied, the variability also does not change when a different advection scheme/dynamical core is used. The exception is the POZONE tracer for the within monthly variability, where differences in the variability are seen between the FV and the spectral (or semi-lagrangian) core throughout much of the troposphere (figure 13). We show the standard deviation in the upper panels, and the standard deviation normalized by the annual average value in the lower panel. Both the annual mean ozone, and the standard deviation are lower in the FV case, but the within monthly variability (standard deviation/mean) is higher.

3.6 Tracer/Dynamics consistency

D. Johnson (U. Wisconsin, private communication) has proposed an interesting variant on evaluation procedures introduced in previous work by he and his colleagues (Johnson et al. (2000) and Johnson et al. (2002)). The earlier procedures were used to evaluate the behavior of conserved tracers in an isentropic framework. Those studies used equivalent potential temperature as a tracer, and thus they were quite sensitive to the formulation for water vapor evolution as well as to formulation for the model thermodynamics. The new procedure provides a simultaneous test of the ability of the model to transport conserved tracers consistently, and the ability of the model to maintain a specific nonlinear relationship between 6 different conserved and non-conserved variables that are influenced by adiabatic and non-adiabatic processes, and by subgridscale and resolved scale dynamical processes. The procedure follows from a particular expression for the first and second law of thermodynamics. The expression, derived in the appendix, can be written as:

\[
\theta_1 = \left( \frac{T_0}{T_1} \right) \frac{p_0}{p_1} R/c_p p
\]  

(1)

Here the subscripts “0” and “1” indicate values of the variables at two points separated in space and time. \( \theta \) is a variable proportional the the log of the entropy, \( T \) and \( p \) are the temperature and pressure, and \( R \) and \( c_p \) the gas constant and specific heat at constant pressure appropriate for dry air.

Equation 1 can be used to define the potential temperature by assigning the value of \( \theta_0 \) to be \( T_0, p_0 \) to be 1.05 hPa, and to drop the subscript from \( (\theta_1, T_1, p_1) \), but we observe that equation (1) defines a
more general relationship between this set of variables at any two points in space and time connected by a trajectory, even in the presence of entropy (potential temperature) sources and sinks, since $T_1, p_1$ and $\theta_1$ should evolve in a consistent fashion even in the presence of those sources and sinks. The test that Johnson proposed goes as follows:

• consider $(\theta_0, T_0, p_0, R/C_p)$ as passive tracers, advected with the flow. Set the initial conditions for these tracers to be the conventional potential temperature $\theta$, temperature $T$, and pressure $p$ at the initial time. Choose $p_1$ and $T_1$ to be the value of $T$ and $p$ at a location in space and time and use equation (1) to predict $\theta_1$ at that gridpoint.

• compare $\theta_1$ to the conventional $\theta$ calculated from $T$ and $p$. If they agree closely, then the model is simultaneously reproducing the first law of thermodynamics, providing an accurate numerical representation of the other equations of motion, and maintaining an accurate relationship between variables as they evolve.

We note that this is quite a difficult feat. This test requires accurate representations of individual constituents in the presence of spatially and temporally varying sources and sinks (of heat). Furthermore, the numerical equations are not formulated in a manner designed explicitly to satisfy equation (1). The difference between $\theta_1$ and $\theta$ identifies inconsistencies in the model. The inconsistencies arise for the following reasons.

– there are errors in the advection operators which will result in the $\theta_0, T_0,$ and $P_0^{R/C_p}$ not being advected accurately as passive scalars.

– The numerical implementation of the advection operators are nonlinear, and the nonlinear combinations of these nonlinear operators need not satisfy any a priori external relationships like equation 1.

– There are errors in all other discretizations of the thermodynamic, mass continuity and hydrostatic equations which will introduce errors in the evolution of the temperature and pressure.

– There are inconsistencies introduced in each solution of equation (1) within the dynamical core because of the choice of thermodynamic and dynamic variables. For example: the spectral and SLD formulations use $T$ as the predicted thermodynamic variable and $\ln P_S$ (the surface
pressure) as the predicted mass variable for the model, while the FV model uses $\theta_v$ (the virtual potential temperature, which is a valid choice in the absence of sources or sinks of water vapor), and $\delta p$ the mass of air in a layer as the predicted mass variable.

Figure 14 shows scatter diagrams of $\theta_1$ vs $\theta$ after 10 days of simulation in the three dynamical cores. Although the model domain extends to 35km (corresponding to potential temperatures as high as 1200K) we have chosen to display a range of values appropriate to the surface through the lower stratosphere (20km), so the range displayed is restricted to potential temperatures between 200 and 500K. Table 2 shows the RMS error of $(\theta - \theta_1)$ for the whole model domain for each model, including the part of the domain excluded from the figure. The largest errors are seen in the spectral simulation, with RMS errors about a factor of two higher than seen in the other two model configuration. The spectral simulation shows large errors occurring at temperatures in the range of $\sim 400 - 500$K, corresponding to values upper troposphere and lower stratosphere (UTLS) ranges. The diagnosed $\theta_1$ is systematically lower than $\theta$. This result is consistent with the earlier work of Johnson et al. (2000, 2002) who showed a similar result for their earlier tests of CCM2 and CCM3 using either dry adiabatic or reversible adiabatic thermodynamics, although the discrepancies in this study are substantially lower than those seen in their tests. This may be due to: 1) the improvements in model energetics, which required significant modifications to the physical parameterizations (Boville and Bretherton, 2003; Boville et al., 2005), or 2) the differences associated with the use of $\theta$ (this study) versus $\theta_e$ in the Johnson studies. Johnson et al. (2000) and Johnson et al. (2002) showed very large errors in CCM simulation near the surface at temperatures ranging from 290-320K which were attributed to the formulation of the interpolation scheme chosen in the semi-lagrangian transport algorithm. Our simulations do not show these errors, presumably because our test involves a conservative variable that does not include water vapor, while the studies by Johnson et al used $\theta_e$, which does. Johnson et al explained the large errors they found as a consequence of the lack of continuity of derivatives of the interpolating polynomials used in the formulation, and the method utilized for calculating the interpolating polynomials near boundaries. The errors were substantially reduced when they changed the form of the interpolating polynomial.

The simulations using the spectral and SLD cores (upper and lower panels respectively), show a systematic bias, with $\theta_1$ frequently lower by 10-20 degrees K compared to the value of $\theta$ calculated from $T$...
Figure 14: $\theta$ versus $\theta_1$ at day 10
Table 2: RMS errors on day 10 for the potential temperature as calculated from equation 1 and that calculated using the conventional equation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>RMS error (K)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spectral</td>
<td>9.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finite Volume</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLD</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and $p$. This result is very similar to the studies by Johnson et al. (2000), and Johnson et al. (2002) who explained this bias in terms of the problems with continuity of the interpolating polynomials. The fact that the SLD core biases are smaller than those of the spectral core may be explained by the fact that the same interpolating polynomial forms are used for all fields in the SLD core, and that in the spectral core, different formulations are used for the model thermodynamics, the model moist variables and passive tracers $\theta_0$, $T_0$, and $p^{R/c_p}$. The inconsistent discretization may be manifested by large errors in $\theta_1$ compared to $\theta$. There is no bias in the $\theta_1$ estimate of $\theta$ in the FV core indicating that the internal consistency of the formulation is higher than the other two model cores.

4 Summary and Conclusions

This study has examined the sensitivity of a number of important archetypical tracer problems to the numerical method used to solve the equations of tracer transport and atmospheric dynamics. The tracers scenarios were constructed to exercise the model for a variety of problems relevant to understanding and modeling the physical, dynamical, and chemical aspects of the climate system.

We have examined a few short 10 (< 30 days) simulations and shown that the models do behave very differently for these short lived species. The largest signal was seen near the tropopause, where the FV core shows a much reduced level of mixing in the vertical and meridionally. There was also evidence that the subtropical subsidence regions are more isolated from tropics and midlatitudes in the FV core than seen in the other model simulations.

Simulations of radon were made to explore differences between the models for a short lived tracer emitted from the surface that is more strongly influenced by rapid subgridscale transports. The sim-
ulations were quite similar between the surface and 400 hPa, equatorward of about 60 degrees, with mean concentrations differing at the 5% level in this region. The simulation differed more strongly in the upper troposphere, where both the FV and SLD cores showed 10-20% higher concentrations, and much lower concentrations in the stratosphere. We interpret this result to be a consequence of reduced stratosphere/troposphere exchange in these two model configurations compared to the spectral core. The FV core showed substantially (20%) higher concentrations in the northern polar regions indicating more meridional mixing between midlatitudes and tropics than the other cores. Manifestations of substantial difference between the polar tropopause temperature distribution in the SLD core compare to the other cores were easily discernable in the radon distribution, indicating that the polar temperature bias present all versions of the CAM, and in most other GCMs has an influence on tracer distributions and one should anticipate that improvements to this aspect of the GCMs will result in changes in trace specie distributions as well.

We used SF6 as an measure of interhemispheric transport, and exchange between troposphere and stratosphere (age of air). The results for STE processes are consistent with the radon study in that the spectral core showed much higher STE than either of the other cores. A comparison with estimated age of air from CO2 and SF6 measurements in the stratosphere suggest that the FV core is behaving most realistically.

We used a “Neutral Biosphere” (NB) test case to explore issues of diurnal and seasonal rectification of a tracer with sources and sinks at the surface. The sources and sinks have a zero annual average, and the rectification is associated with temporal correlations between the sources and sinks, and transport. We also examined the sensitivity of the result to shifts in the correlations between transport and source/sink terms. While Denning et al. (1999) concluded that subgridscale parameterized version transport provided the most obvious explanation for differences between models for long lived species, and that model development should focus on improvements to this aspect of the tracer transport, rather than on improved numerical schemes for horizontal advection, our study suggests that although this conclusion is valid the model sensitivity is more subtle than might be apparent at first. We have used a model in which the subgridscale parameterizations themselves are nearly identical. The only differences were due to small changes in tuning between the FV core and the other two cores (spectral and SLD). The test suggests
that the rectification is strongly influenced by the resolved scale dynamics (i.e., the dynamical core) and that the numerical formulation for dynamics and transport still plays a critical role in the distribution of NB-like species. Since the distribution of species driven by these processes have a strong influence on the interpretation of the “missing sink” for CO$_2$, and our interpretation of climate change associated with anthropogenic forcing, these issues should not be neglected.

Two test cases were used to explore exchange between the stratosphere and troposphere. Both tracers had a source in the stratosphere and a sink at the surface. We used a “Pseudo-Ozone” (POZONE) tracer with an unlimited source, designed to replenish to ozone to a prescribed value above 50 hPa, and a “Synthetic-Ozone” (SYNOZ) tracer with a prescribed production rate in the tropical stratosphere to explore the role of STE in a model configuration similar to that use by many global atmospheric models of tropospheric photochemistry. The two tracers provide information about: 1) the rate at which air is exchanged between the tropics and extratropics in the stratosphere and 2) the rate at which air is exchanged between the stratosphere and troposphere; The POZONE tests showed that the spectral and SLD core configuration had much more rapid downward transport at midlatitudes, than the FV core (much shorter exchange time between stratosphere and troposphere). The ratio of SYNOZ to POZONE showed that the exchange time between tropics and midlatitudes in the stratosphere was much slower than the exchange time between stratosphere and troposphere in the spectral core, while in the FV core the exchange times were much closer to each other.

We examined changes in variability (defined as the standard deviation over the mean) due to dynamical core changes and climate. Small changes in variability were seen between climates, while discernable changes in the variability between the finite volume dynamical core and the other two cores were seen in the ozone tracer simulation.

We also explored the ability of each model core to simultaneously transport an array of trace constituents obeying a complex non-linear relationship and having complex sources and sinks. The nonlinear relationship is dictated by the first and second law of thermodynamics, and the test provided a rigorous means of exploring the models abilities to satisfy these equations, and the equations describing mass conservations. The spectral core showed the largest departures from the predicted nonlinear relationship. The FV and SLD models both produced errors a factor of two lower. The SLD model did show a small but systematic
bias in the its ability to maintain this relationship that was not present in the FV simulation.

The results of the study indicate that for virtually all of these problems that the model numerics still have a large role in influencing the model solutions. It was frequently the case that the differences in solutions resulting from varying the numerics still exceeds the difference in the simulation resulting from a significant physical perturbation (like changes in greenhouse gas forcing). The simulations made to explore the model response to changing climate associated with anthropogenic forcing indicate an increase in STE, consistent with previous studies by Butchart and Sciafe (2001), Rind et al. (2001), Collins et al. (2003), and Zeng and Pyle (2003), all of whom found an increase in mass exchange across the tropopause associated with more vigorous planetary wave forcing in the extratropics.

It is interesting to note that the changes in mass transport associated with climate change are swamped by the amplitude of changes associated with the numerical method used to formulate the model in virtually all the problems we explored. This does not mean that the physical response of the system is not correct. Indeed the fact that our results are consistent with the result from four other studies using three completely different numerical formulations for dynamics and transport lend some confidence to the conclusions of those papers, but it does indicate that all of the studies (including ours) are being affected by the numerical formulation, and that caution is required in interpreting the results.

From the point of view of tracer transport, there are clear winners and losers in this intercomparison. The FV core is conservative (neither of the others are), less diffusive (maintains strong gradients better), and maintains the nonlinear relationships among variables required by thermodynamic and mass conservation constraints. These criteria are among the reasons we anticipate moving to this core, or some other with similar desirable properties as the standard configuration for future generations of CAM.
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Appendix 1

We recall a form of the first law of thermodynamics (following Dutton (1976))

\[ ds = C_v d \ln T + R d \ln \alpha = C_p \ln T - R d \ln p \]  

(A-1)

where \( C_v \) and \( C_p \) are the specific heat at constant volume and pressure of a parcel, \( T \) and \( P \) the temperature and pressure of the parcel, \( s \) the specific entropy and \( R \) the gas constant for the parcel. The second expression on the right is valid only for an ideal gas. This equation expresses the relationship between \( s \), \( T \), and (\( \alpha \) or \( p \)). The integral form of the equation is

\[ s_1 - s_0 = c_v \ln \frac{T_1}{T_0} + R \ln \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_0} = c_p \ln \frac{T_1}{T_0} - R \ln \frac{p_1}{p_0} \]  

(A-2)

It is typical to define a function \( \theta \) such that

\[ s_1 - s_0 = C_p \ln \frac{\theta_1}{\theta_0} \]  

(A-3)

to give

\[ \theta_1 = \left( \frac{\theta_0}{T_0} \right) T_1 \left( \frac{p_0}{p_1} \right)^{R/cp} \]  

(A-4)

In CAM, the first law is solved by writing equation (A-2 in time differentiated form as

\[ \frac{ds}{dt} = \frac{C_p}{T} \frac{dT}{dt} - \frac{R}{p} \frac{dp}{dt} = \frac{Q}{T} \]  

(A-5)
where we have introduced the alternate notation using $Q/T = ds/dt$ as a heating rate with dissipation, radiation, or phase change. The model solves the equation in an operator split form. That is, variables are updated sequentially for each physical process operating on the model. During the dynamics update in the spectral and SLD cores (A-5) takes on the form
\[
\frac{dT}{dt} - \frac{RT}{C_{p}} \frac{dp}{dt} = 0
\]
(A-6)

while the FV core uses
\[
\frac{d\theta_v}{dt} = 0
\]
(A-7)

Here $\theta_v$ is the virtual potential temperature which is also a conserved variable in the absence of sources or sinks of water.