Correspondence between short and long timescale systematic errors in CAM4/CAM5 explored by YOTC data
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Motivation

- Climate model biases are examined through the Cloud-Associated Parameterizations Testbed (CAPT) approach: A numerical weather prediction technique to evaluate parameterizations of sub-grid scale processes in climate models: To determine their initial drift from the observations.
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Experiments and Reference Data Sets

• Model:
  – NCAR Community Atmosphere Model, version 4 & 5

• Experiments:
  – Forecast runs (CAPT): Day 1 – Day 6 (during YOTC period)
    • Initialized with ECMWF analysis and prescribed with weekly observed SST
  – Climate run (AMIP): 2008 – 2010 with prescribed weekly SST

• Observational Data Sets:
  – TRMM & GPCP precipitation; CERES Radiation; CALIPSO cloud fractions (comparing with CAM CALIPSO simulator); ECMWF analysis data
Initial Conditions: ECMWF Analysis → NCAR Community Atmosphere Model → 6 days forecast

Hannay et al. (2009)
CAM5 Forecast Skill

The values are comparable to those achieved by the major forecast centers.
- CAM5 vs. CAM4 \(\rightarrow\) remarkably similar (bias is less stronger in CAM5)
- Excessive Pr much of the Tropics; Double ITCZ / Less Pr over the joint area of Indian Ocean, marinetime continent, and western Pacific
- Climate vs. Forecast \(\rightarrow\) less strong but most remarkably similar. Some errors are not clear in Day 2 forecasts (e.g., Double ITCZ)

Xie et al. (2012) in preparation
• CAM5 vs. CAM4 → Overestimation of Net Shortwave at TOA in the southern ocean near 60S. Considerable improvement in CAM5, mainly due to the increase of mid- and low clouds.
• Climate vs. Forecast → remarkably similar.

Xie et al. (2012) in preparation
ANN Mid-level Clouds (CALIPSO simulator)

- CAM5 vs. CAM4 → Considerable improvement in CAM5
- Forecast vs. Climate → Less bias over the western Pacific warm pool (CAM5 Day2)
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June-August Mean Precipitation

Too active deep convection over the tropical domain (0-360, 20S-20N) -> positive bias in tropical mean precipitation
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Cold bias in the middle- to lower (lower) troposphere
Wet and warm bias is present near the surface
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Regional analysis of precipitation bias and moist processes

- Dry bias tendency over (120E-150E, EQ-10N)
- Wet bias tendency over (60E-75E, 5-20N)
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Short-term Forecasts vs Long-term Climate

A Taylor diagram to summarize the performance of simulated fields.
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Moist Static Energy profiles

Dry Bias

Wet Bias

- Moisture bias is the main contributor to the MSE bias.
- Both regions show similar cold bias profiles.
- Dry (Wet) tendency between 600 – 900 hPa disfavors (favors) deep convection

In reference to ECMWF-YOTC analysis
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Summary & Future Work

• The CAPT approach demonstrates the benefit to identify climate model biases through numerical weather prediction technique: Initial drift in precipitation, clouds, temperature, and moisture fields could be identified through Day 1 to Day 3 forecasts. Beyond Day 3 forecasts, model performance converges to mean climate (AMIP) performance. (Similar Day 5 and AMIP error patterns).

• Global tropical analyses on the precipitation suggest that both CAM 4 & 5 tend to produce too much precipitation. This is consistent with higher near surface moisture and temperature, and colder mid-level temperature, especially for intense convective regions.

• Regional analyses on the precipitation over the northwestern Pacific Ocean and southwestern Indian Peninsula suggest that: Dry (Wet) bias of precipitation in the model is associated with anomalous drying (moistening) at lower troposphere. The reason for such drying (moistening) requires further studies.

• Includes high frequency (hourly to daily) and other source of data for analysis (e.g. ARM, Satellite retrievals ).
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June – August Precipitation Biases

• Both CAM4 & CAM5 show similar bias patterns except bias is smaller in the forecasts
• The bias is enhanced with the forecast lead time.
Too active deep convection over the tropical domain (20S-20N) -> positive bias in tropical mean precipitation
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Cold bias over deep convective regions
Vertical Profiles of Cloud Fraction
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